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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Exposure of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ released from combustion of biomass activity caused respiratory health among children. Objective: This study aims to determine the association between exposure of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ with DNA damage in primary school children living nearby palm oil combustion activity at Semenyih. Methods: A cross sectional comparative study were conducted among Malay primary school children in school A located 2.7 km from palm oil activity (N=82) and school B located about 40 km away from the palm oil area (N=85). A standardized questionnaire were distributed to respondent’s parents. Concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ were measured by using Dust Trak DRX Aerosol Monitor Model 8534 and Escort LC Personal Sampling Pump. Measurement of indoor and outdoor air pollutants were conducted in schools and home. Buccal cells were collected, which then followed by micronucleus assay. Results: Concentration of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ at home of studied group were significantly higher compared to comparative group with p value (p=0.007) and (p=0.018) respectively. PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ of studied schools were significantly higher compared to comparative schools with p value (p=0.014) and (p=0.04) respectively. MN frequencies of studied group were significantly higher compared to comparative group (p=0.001). There were significant correlation between PM$_{10}$ with MN frequency of studied group and comparative group with r= 0.562; p=0.001. Conclusion: This study indicated that the exposure of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ would increase the risk of having respiratory health symptoms and might induce the micronuclei formation among children who lived near palm oil activity area.
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INTRODUCTION

Air pollution has become the most significant concern as the pollutants in the ambient air can threaten human health. It is crucial to combat pollutant emissions such as the evaluation on the characteristics of particulate matters (PM) at different sources to protect both human and the environment (1). World Health Organization stated that the polluted environment can cause an estimated of 12.6 million deaths globally (2). From the numerous deaths, young children contribute 23% of total global mortality and 26% of deaths (3).

Oil palm is one of the major crops that are planted in Malaysia. The plantation of oil palm was aggressively growing from early 1875. Malaysia has become the second largest producer and importer of palm oil in the world (4). Since Malaysia needs to produce the oils and fats due to world demand, every factory needs to increase its production whereby it operate during the day and night. Unfortunately, palm oil activities generate air pollutants besides liquid effluent and solid waste. Each palm oil will have one boiler to carry out combustion process (5).

A susceptible group especially elderly, pregnant women, the person who is suffering from any respiratory health problem and children are prone to get any respiratory problems when inhaling those particulate matters. The person who had a history of suffering respiratory problem faced difficulty in breathing and even worsening their health condition. Exposure to air pollutant at early age can cause impair of lung development, reduce lung function and increase risk of chronic lung disease in adulthood (2). These are due to immune system and underdeveloped organs of children. Children inhale more air relative to their weight and lung surface compared to adult (6).
Previous study reported that exposure to air particulate matters associated with prevalence of respiratory health symptoms among children living in high polluted area (7). Therefore, children become an interest group in this study as they were the most affected.

The exposure of particulate matter such as PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ can be assessed by micronuclei (MN) frequency as the biomarkers of genetic damage (8). MN assay is extensively used to assess various environmental exposure such as pollution, industrial toxicants, radiation and numerous medical treatments for public health beneficial especially in children (9). The formation of extranuclear bodies from breakage of chromosome or the whole chromosome does not reach the spindle poles during cell division are called as micronuclei. After failure of mitotic spindle, the micronuclei will form, thus it will lead to misattachments of microtubules (10). The micronuclei can be identified by its round or oval shape with the size of 1/3-1/16 diameter of main nucleus and found in the cellular cytoplasm (11).

This study aim to determine the association between exposure of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ with DNA damage in primary school children living nearby palm oil combustion activity at Semenyih. We investigated the potential genotoxicity of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ by determining the MN frequency among children. Apart from that, we investigated the respiratory health symptoms of children which may be affected by the inhalation of particulate matters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study background**

This study was a cross sectional comparative study conducted among Malay primary school children who lived near palm oil activity and far from palm oil activity in Selangor, Malaysia. A total of 167 primary school children with aged between 9 to 11 years old were recruited from two selected primary schools. The exposed group (N=82) was primary school children who studied at Sekolah Kebangsaan A located about 2.7km away from the palm oil area. Meanwhile, the comparative group (N=85) was among primary school children who studied at Sekolah Kebangsaan B located about 40km away from the palm oil area. Parents answered the given questionnaire that comprised of eight section including sociodemographic background, health status, respiratory health history, eating habits, indoor and outdoor environment information, history health of parents and permission on collecting dust samples in homes. The structured questionnaire was adapted from American Thoracic Society (Questionnaire ATS –DCD-78C for children) and questionnaire from American Academy of Paediatrics. Children who involved were given an approval from their parents or guardian before taking parts in this study. This study was conducted from February 2019 until June 2019.

**School and home monitoring**

Measurement of the PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ were sampled at two selected schools from 7.20 a.m until 2.00 p.m of 6 hours during school period. The measurement was taken at the back of classroom by using Dust Trak Aerosol Monitor Model 8534. Apart from that; personal sampling pump by Zefon was placed inside the children’s bedroom or living room and operated for 24-hours to measure PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ at respondent’s house. The equipment was calibrated before used and placed at the same level of children’s breathing zone, where 1 meter above from ground and 1.5 meter away from windows and door to avoid obstruction.

**Collection of exfoliated buccal mucosa and MN assay**

The children need to rinse their mouth twice with natural mineral water before sample collection. No eating was allowed before the buccal cell collection (12). The sample of buccal mucosa cells was gently scraped in the inner surface of cheek for 10 times in circular motion by using cytobrush. The samples was immediately dipped into microcentrifuge tubes containing 0.1M phosphate buffer solution (PBS) (pH7.5) and stored at -20°C. The cells were centrifuged and washed with 0.1M PBS, then smeared on the clear glass slides and leaved overnight. Next, the cells were fixed with 1% glutaraldehyde in cold 0.1M PBS for 20 minutes and pre-treated with 5N HCl for 30 minutes. The glass slides were stained with Schiff reagent for 90 minutes and counterstained with 0.1% Fast Green for 20 seconds (13). The slides then were examined at 40X magnification by using light microscope. Scoring of micronuclei frequency was performed by using formula (14);

\[
X = \frac{Number\ of\ X}{2000 \times 1000}
\]

where, \(X\) = Micronuclei score (MN)

2000 is referring to 2000 binucleated cells analysed for presence of MN.

**Statistical analysis**

Obtained data were analysed by using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23. The normality test was performed first in order to determine the distribution of data among studied and comparative group. The parametric or non-parametric test were determined for the subsequent statistical analysis. The significant level of this study was set at \(p<0.05\). Mean, standard deviations, minimum and maximum were determined by performing univariate analysis. In the other hand, bivariate analysis was conducted in order to find the significant difference between variables in this study.

**RESULTS**

**Characteristics of respondent’s house**

Based on Table 1, there were higher number of respondents 44(53.7%) who lived more than 1000
Based on Table IV, only coughing showed the most significant difference of mean between studied and comparative group (p=0.001). The results showed that there were significant difference of mean between studied and comparative schools and comparative group were less than 0.05. It can be concluded that there were a significant difference of mean between studied and comparative schools and respondents’ house.

**Prevalence of respiratory health symptoms**

Based on Table IV, only coughing showed the most reported respiratory health symptoms as the respondents were exposed to high level of PM$_{10}$ with 9 (30%) respondents. There was only 1 (3.1%) respondent who exposed to low level of PM$_{10}$ was having cough, others were not. There were significant difference between exposure of two category PM$_{10}$ with reported respiratory health symptoms (p<0.05). Respondents who exposed to high level of PM$_{10}$ were 13 times likely to get cough, (PR=6.429, 95%CI 2.5-10).

Furthermore, based on Table IV there were 9 (30%) out of 32 respondents reported on cough with high exposure of PM$_{2.5}$. There were significant difference between two categories of exposure level and cough (p<0.05). Respondents exposed to high level of PM$_{2.5}$ were 6 times as likely to get cough, (PR=6.429, 95%CI 2.5-10).

**Correlation between PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ (Home) with MN Frequency of studied group and comparative group**

Based on Table V, there was a significant association between PM$_{10}$ with MN frequency of studied and comparative group.

---

**Table I: Characteristics of respondent’s house**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Studied group n=82</th>
<th>Compara- tive group n= 85</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Distance from main road</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100 meters from house</td>
<td>5 (6.1)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>1.652</td>
<td>0.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;100-500 meters from house</td>
<td>5 (6.1)</td>
<td>9 (10.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500-1000 meters from house</td>
<td>28 (30.9)</td>
<td>27 (31.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1000 meters from house</td>
<td>44 (53.7)</td>
<td>46 (54.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Distance from palm oil factory** |                        |                           |     |         |
| <500 meters                     | 5 (6.1)              | -                         |      |         |
| >1.5-3 km                       | 18 (22.0)            | -                         |      |         |
| >1.5-3 km                       | 18 (22.0)            | 6 (7.1)                   | 40.993 | 0.001*  |
| >3 km                           | 41 (50.0)            | 79 (92.9)                 |      |         |

| **Distance from power station** |                      |                           |     |         |
| <500 meters                     | -                   | -                         | 0.953 | 0.329   |
| >1.5-3 km                       | 6 (7.3)             | 10 (11.8)                 |      |         |
| >3 km                           | 76 (92.7)           | 75 (88.2)                 |      |         |

| Perception on surrounding area |                        |                           |     |         |
| Very dusty                      | 5 (6.1)             | 2 (2.4)                   | 5.124 | 0.077   |
| Moderate dusty                  | 59 (72.0)           | 52 (61.2)                 |      |         |
| Less dusty                      | 18 (22.0)           | 31 (36.5)                 |      |         |

**Concentration of air pollutants**

Table II showed that the concentrations of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ of studied schools were higher (105.21±46.11; (68.83±13.03) compared to comparative schools (53.92 ±12.37); (50.80 ±17.45). Meanwhile for measurement during 3 km from main road in studied respondents' house, the concentration of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ were higher in studied group (104.29±58.70), (74.29±42.57) compared to comparative group (29.29±16.69); (28.57±32.11). The data showed that the p value of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ between studied and comparative group were less than 0.05. It can be concluded that there were a significant difference of mean between studied and comparative group (p=0.001).

**Comparison of MN Frequency among respondents for studied and comparative group**

Based on Table III, MN frequency of studied group was higher (Median±IQR) (4.00(3.00) compared to comparative group (0.50±1.00). The results showed that there were significant difference of mean between studied and comparative group (p=0.001).

**Table II: PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration level in schools and respondents’ house between studied and comparative group.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Studied group Means±SD</th>
<th>Comparative group Means±SD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$ at school (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>105.21±46.11 (n=3)</td>
<td>53.92 ±12.37 (n=3)</td>
<td>2.843</td>
<td>0.014*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ at school (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>68.83±13.03 (n=3)</td>
<td>50.80 ±17.45 (n=3)</td>
<td>2.287</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$ at respon- dents' house (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>104.29±58.70 (n=30)</td>
<td>29.29±16.69 (n=12)</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ at respon- dents' house (µg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>74.29±42.57 (n=30)</td>
<td>28.57±32.11 (n=12)</td>
<td>-2.37</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at p<0.05

**Table III: MN Frequency among studied and comparative group.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Studied group</th>
<th>Comparative group</th>
<th>z-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MN Frequency</td>
<td>N=82</td>
<td>N=85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>4.00(3.00)</td>
<td>0.50(1.00)</td>
<td>-10.978</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cough$^a$</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>8.267</th>
<th>13.286</th>
<th>1.565-</th>
<th>112.803</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>9(30)</td>
<td>21(70)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>3.827</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>1(3.1)</td>
<td>31(96.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough$^a$</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5.984</td>
<td>6.429</td>
<td>1.259-</td>
<td>32.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>9(30)</td>
<td>21(70)</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>6.429</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>2(6.3)</td>
<td>30(93.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Significant at p<0.05

Fisher exact test
comparative group (p = 0.001). Therefore, the correlation result of PM$_{10}$ shows that there was a moderate positive correlation between PM$_{10}$ and MN frequency (r = 0.562).

**DISCUSSION**

Based on the findings, the concentrations of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ of studied schools were significantly higher 105.21 µg/m$^3$ compared to comparative schools 53.92 µg/m$^3$. Previous study found that the concentration of indoor air pollutants (PM$_{10}$, 68.52 µg/m$^3$ and PM$_{2.5}$, 41.33µg/m$^3$) are higher in school which located near the palm oil mill (15). Vulnerable groups such as elderly, pregnant women and children who were residing near palm oil were exposed to high indoor and outdoor air pollutants by the emission of air pollutants released from the factory. One of the activities in palm oil factory was the combustion of biomass material such as fibre and shell from fresh fruit brunch as the source of energy for the factory. The burning of waste as a boiler fuel produced a harmful emission in the industry, releasing a massive amount of particles in the form of fly ash to the air (16-17).

Meanwhile, the mean concentration of PM$_{10}$ at home within 24-hours was 104.29µg/m$^3$ for the studied group indicating a higher concentration compared to the comparative group which is 29.29µg/m$^3$. In another study in urban location found that mean in 24 hours of PM$_{10}$ was 85.3 µg/m$^3$ (18). Local study revealed that the mean concentration of PM$_{10}$ in 24 hours nearby palm oil mill was 72.45 µg/m$^3$ (15). The concentration of PM$_{10}$ (104.29µg/m$^3$) was lower compared to 150.0µg/m$^3$ in 24-hours measurement. Moreover, for the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ at home, the studied group recorded higher 74.29 µg/m$^3$ compared to the comparative group 28.57 µg/m$^3$. However, 74.29µg/m$^3$ was lower when comparing with Ambient Air Quality Standard Malaysia, 75.0µg/m$^3$ within the 24-hours period. Previous local study revealed that the mean concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in 24-hours located near palm oil mill was 42.26 µg/m$^3$ among studied group compared to comparative group, 23.54 µg/m$^3$ (15). The distance of houses from factory can be the factors due to high PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ at home, where half (50%) of the studied group lived less than 3km from the factory as the house were located within zone of impact. The zone of impact taken an area of 5 km radius from the site and it depends on the source strength and the pollutant dilution effect (19). Air pollutants such as PM$_{2.5}$ can persist at the atmosphere for a few days and even for a few weeks as well as the radius dispersion of particulate matter can travel up to hundreds to thousand kilometres. Meanwhile, PM$_{10}$ can travel in the atmosphere for less than one kilometre to hundreds of kilometres (20).

There were a few factors which contribute to the production of indoor air pollutants such as ventilation and the source of fuel when cooking at home. The ventilation status in houses was one of the factors that contribute to the production of indoor particulate matters by which the particles are coming from the outdoor (21). Particulate emission from palm oil refinery also catching the attention of local authorities due to the combustion process as it cause air pollution (22). According to the World Health Organisation, PM$_{2.5}$ produced from incomplete combustion was identified as a contributing factor on air quality to detrimental effects on health and also climate (23).

The result of the study found that MN frequency of the studied group was higher compared to comparative group where it increases by eight folds from median MN frequency of comparative group. However, the mean MN frequency of this study (4.00%) was slightly lower compared to baseline mean MN frequency (5.7%) from pooled analysis by previous study (24) with range age of 0-18 years old. MN frequency of this study also lower when compared to MN frequency (6.6%) of children with aged 5 - 11 years old who exposed to air pollutant resulted from study by Pedersen et. al (25). Another study among Brazilian school children found a significant evidence in which increased exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ increase micronuclei frequency in their subjects (26). From this study, it can be concluded that the MN frequency was still does not exceed the baseline mean MN frequency from the pooled analysis.

Most reported respiratory health symptoms were coughing 29.3% from respondents of the studied group followed by wheezing, phlegm and also chest tightness. Meanwhile, for the comparative group, only 1.2% of the respondents experienced cough, phlegm, wheezing and 2.4% of the respondents had reported having chest tightness. Respondents in studied group were 34 times likely to get cough, 15 times likely to get phlegm, 30 times likely to get wheezing and 7 times likely to get chest tightness, (PR= 34.76, 95%CI 4.57-264.17); (PR= 15.83, 95%CI 2.02-124.01); (PR= 30.800, 95%CI 4.040-234.799); (PR= 7.819, 95%CI 1.706-35.841). These outcomes were parallel with previous study whereby the reported respondents who were having a cough, phlegm, wheezing has a significant difference (p<0.01) between studied and comparative group (15). The initial deposition for the particles which is larger than 5 micrometres are likely to deposited at upper respiratory tracts to the entrance of the trachea (27). Particles inhaled into our respiratory tract can penetrate deeply into our lung and even into our bloodstream. The penetration of particulate matter into the lower respiratory tracts

---

**Table V:** Correlation between PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ (Home) with MN Frequency of studied group and comparative group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>MN Frequency (N=62)</th>
<th>r-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>0.830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman Correlation*significant at p<0.05
or thoracic regions can cause respiratory morbidity and asthma (28). Previous study has found that there was a significant association between exposures to PM$_{10}$ at home with the prevalence of coughing (29). The exposure to particulate matters was associated with increased respiratory symptoms (30).

Previous study found that micronuclei frequency was associated with PM$_{10}$, NO$_2$ and CO where it comes from an automotive emission (31). Another previous study found that exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ caused DNA damage in children near busy traffic road (32). PM$_{10}$ was associated with mutagenic activities (33) and it gave a significant health impact as the chemical deposited in an organ that probably cause in vivo somatic cell mutation and development of cancer (31). There were increased level of DNA damage found in subjects who are working or living near an oil refinery compared with people living nearby to industries and restricted traffic (33).

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, this research indicated that the exposure of concentration PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ would increase the risk of having respiratory health symptoms among primary school children who lived near palm oil activity area. The exposure of particulate matters might induce the micronuclei formation among affected children. This study would be the preliminary data of air quality at school and housing area located near palm oil factory. As for recommendation, the management of palm oil factory and authorities need to monitor the emission released from the palm oil activities frequently, meanwhile the community residing near palm oil factory area need to increase their awareness about the quality of air surrounding them. Parent should seek for doctor's consultation regarding their children health. Moreover, there is a need to conduct a more comprehensive study to investigate the component found in particulate matters that could induced the formation of micronucleus. The management of palm oil should revise the effectiveness of existing control measure on the emission of air pollutants. For instance, the use of bag filters was suggested before the polluted air release to the environment. However, there are also some limitation in this study as the number of respondents for sampling of dust particles at home is insufficient to represent the whole area that are affected by the palm oil activities.
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