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#### Abstract

Introduction: Increased consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) is strongly associated with weight gain, dental cavities, and a higher risk of chronic diseases among adolescents. However, there is no available FFQ for the Malaysian population individually to evaluate and assess the consumption of SSBs and high-energy dense (HED) foods among adolescents. Method: A 24-hour diet recall with 30 adolescents were performed via Google Meet to identify the most common and frequent types of SSBs and HEDs foods consumed nowadays. The food checklist of SSBs and HED foods from literature review search was also provided to the participants. Experts critically reviewed each food item and provide a score for its relevancy and essentiality. Face validity were conducted among 10 adolescents to validate the developed FFQ. Results: The final FFQ consisted of 63 food items with ten categories. This FFQ showed high content validity for individual items with I-CVI ranging from 0.67 to 1.00 and overall questionnaires with S-CVI/Ave valued at 0.97. The calculation of Kappa statistic showed that this newly developed FFQ has excellent inter-rater reliability at the item level with $K>0.74$ for 55 items and $K=0.57$ only for 4 items. Most of the items were marked as relevant with a CVR score of 1.00 . Conclusion: The findings of this study imply that the newly developed FFQ could be utilised to estimate the intake of SSB and HED foods of Malaysian adolescents. Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences (2023) 19(3):53-63. doi:10.47836/mjmhs19.3.8
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## INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a crucial stage of life where it marks the transition from childhood to adulthood. Biological, psychological, physical, and behavioural functions such as eating behaviour, physical activity, psychological wellbeing, physical fitness, and body composition are all altered and evolved during this phase (2). Research proved that obesity, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and various malignancies have all been linked to bad eating habits and inactive lifestyles adopted during childhood, adolescence, and adulthood (1). Over the last few years, the obesity rate among Malaysians is worrying. The Institute for Public Health (2017), reported that $44 \%$ of Malaysian teenagers are overweight, with $14 \%$ being obese. In another survey conducted by the United Nations International

Children's Emergency Fund, UNICEF (2019), 12.7\% of Malaysian children aged 5 to 19 are obese. The high prevalence of overweight and obesity among teenagers should be highlighted as one of the most pressing health concerns since overweight and obesity in adolescence tends to persist into adulthood (26).

It has been discovered that the eating habits of children and adolescents are linked to their social and physical environment characteristics (29). Children and teenagers prefer to consume readily available and easily accessible foods, and they are more likely to consume larger amounts when bigger food portions are prepared (29). Adolescents' dietary behaviours have shown negative longitudinal and secular trends, such as an adverse shift in dietary habits and a decline in quality of diet during the transition from childhood to adolescence, with declines in consumption of vegetables, fruits, milk, fruit juice, and a rise in sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) intake (18). Fast food and high energy-density foods have become key contributors to obesity and overweight, raising public health concerns about the
dietary transition and the development of chronic noncommunicable diseases (39). Because SSBs have empty calories, diminish satiety, and encourage the intake of foods with little to no nutritional value, there is rising worry about their impact on health (41).

There is substantial evidence that a high intake of SSBs and HED foods increases the risk of non-communicable diseases (15, 40). High consumption of high energydense (HED) food such as ultra-processed foods was linked to a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome in adolescents (32). Besides, recent evidence suggests that consuming more fast food and having easier access to fast food may result in higher insulin levels and an increased risk of insulin resistance (42, 43). Excessive intake of SSBs and HED foods may lead to overweight, obesity or weight gain. High consumption of energydense food products such as SSBs, ready-to-eat meals, and savoury snacks, has been linked to overweight and obesity in adolescents and adults (44). Based on one Taiwanese study, SSBs intake was related to a 3.2-to-4.9-fold risk of obesity (45).

A 24-hour dietary recall, food record, and Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) are the most common dietary methods used in assessing dietary intakes. However, these existing methods have a few limitations such as a 24-hour dietary recall or food record system for a large observational study was deemed impractical due to feasibility issues, and costs associated with scheduling, interviewer or respondent training, and data coding (24). In addition, both food records and 24-hour dietary recall were focused only on short-term intake. FFQ is a list of food items with response categories that reflect the usual frequency of consumption over some time (9).

As the prevalence of overweight and obesity among adolescents continues to increase, FFQ has been recognized as a method to study the intake of adolescents although it has not been used widely in Malaysian adolescent research (23). In epidemiological research, adolescents' food intake is frequently measured using the FFQ, which was initially created for adults (21). This FFQ's food list for adolescents was developed using a data-driven methodology. As a result, this FFQ is more likely to provide nutritional data representative of Malaysian adolescents. An FFQ has been developed and validated to estimate SSBs intake among Malaysian adults (19), however, the same FFQ may not apply correctly to the Malaysian adolescents given the fact that cultural differences, food accessibility, preference and socioeconomic background may appear between settings. Given all these concerns stated, it is an urge to develop effective evaluation tools for both habitual SSB and HED foods consumption so that intervention can be easily aimed in the future to improve SSB and HED foods intake. Therefore, the present study aims to develop and validate an FFQ to measure both SSB and

HED foods intake among Malaysian adolescents, using the correct definition for both foods mentioned.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sample design

A cross-sectional study was conducted involving adolescents aged 13 to 18 years old in Kelantan, Malaysia, who are proficient in Bahasa Melayu. On the other hand, adolescents who had chronic or terminal diseases (such as asthma, diabetes, hypertension, cancer, etc.) or require special dietary needs or special dietary requirements are excluded from this study. In this study, purposive sampling was utilized to select the participants. This non-probabilistic sampling technique involves the researcher's judgment in selecting individuals or groups that can provide rich and diverse information related to the research question (35). Unlike probability sampling, purposive sampling does not rely on statistical formula to determine sample size. Instead, the sample size is determined based on the anticipated heterogeneity of the target population, including areas, population groupings, geographic locations, households, and individuals. In this study, 30 adolescents were purposively selected to participate, based on their relevance to the research objectives.

To ensure the validity of the developed Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), expert panels comprising healthcare professionals, including dietitians, clinical instructors, and food and nutrition experts were invited to evaluate and validate the instrument. While there is no consensus on the minimum number of experts required for content validation, most recommendations suggest at least six experts to ensure adequate representation of various perspectives and expertise (60). The number of experts included in this study was based on their availability and willingness to participate, as well as their expertise and relevance to the study objectives.

## Instrument and Procedures

## 24-hour diet recall

To develop the Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), foods and beverages that contributed to the participants' dietary intake were identified, and the required number of items to be included in the FFQ was determined. Participants were interviewed individually via Google Meet to complete a 24 -hour dietary recall, as this method has been shown to yield a high response rate. During the interview, detailed information on all foods and beverages consumed by the participants was recorded, including the method of preparation, the brand of items used, and the time of consumption. Each food and beverage item reported by the participants was then analyzed and included in the FFQ as appropriate.

## Food checklist

In addition to the dietary recall data, participants were
also provided with a food checklist comprising sugarsweetened beverages (SSBs) and high-energy-dense (HED) foods that was developed based on the literature review search. Besides, the participants were given photographs of household utensils to measure the amounts of foods and beverages that they consumed. Thus, the portion size for food and beverages reported by them was based on local household units or according to the common commercial size unit. The frequency of intake for each food item in the food checklist includes, never, 1-3 times per month, once a week, 2-3 times a week, 4-6 times a week, once a day, 2-3 times a day, and more than 4 times a day, which were also adapted from literature review search. Participants were also asked to list down other SSBs and HEDs foods that were not listed in the food checklist. The food items that were commonly consumed by the participants were derived to form an FFQ.

## Content Validation

To validate the newly developed Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), experts were invited to critically review each food item included in the Content Validation Form $(27,60)$ and provide a score for its relevance and essentiality using a Likert scale. The relevance scale ranged from 1 (not relevant) to 4 (very relevant), and the content was deemed invalid if the rating was 1 or 2, while a rating of 3 or 4 indicated validity (27). Similarly, the essentiality scale ranged from 1 (not essential) to 3 (essential). Based on the feedback from the experts, changes were made regarding the removal or addition of items to ensure the content validity of the FFQ.

The I-CVI was calculated by dividing the number of experts who gave each item a "quite relevant" and "very relevant" ratings by the total number of experts. I-CVI was determined using the equation below.

$$
\operatorname{Icvi}=n_{\mathrm{a}} / N
$$

Where Icvi is the Item-Content Validity Index, $n_{\mathrm{a}}$ is the number of experts who gave rates of 3 (quite relevant) and 4 (very relevant) for each item, and $N$ is the total number of experts panels. For 6 expert panels, acceptable CVI values were at least 0.83 , however, items having an I-CVI score of less than 0.83 should be revised based on expert feedback (25). The SCVI is the proportion of elements in an instrument that were determined to be content valid. The SCVI/Ave method computes the ICVI for each item in the instrument and calculated its average across the items. If the $\mathrm{SCVI} /$ Ave scores $\geq 0.9$, the instrument will have excellent content validity (10).

Although CVI is often used to measure content validity, it has been suggested that due to chance agreement, this index does not account for the potential of in flatted values, thus, a kappa statistic is calculated in addition to CVI (36). The degree of agreement beyond chance is determined by the Kappa statistic, which can be
calculated using the following formula:

$$
K=\frac{I c v i-P_{c}}{1-P_{c}} \text { where } P c=\left[\frac{N!}{A!(N-A)!}\right] 5^{\mathrm{N}}
$$

In this formula, $P c$ is the probability of chance agreement while A is the number of experts who agreed on which item is relevant. Kappa values more than 0.74 were considered excellent, 0.60 to 0.74 were considered good, 0.40 to 0.59 were considered fair, and smaller than 0.40 were considered poor (36).

The CVR was determined by the level of agreement for each item's inclusion through each expert who gave a rating of 3 (essential). The following equation was used to calculate the CVR value.

$$
C V R=\frac{N_{\mathrm{e}}-\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)}
$$

Where $N_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the number of expert panels indicating the item as "essential" and $N$ was the total of expert panels. The CVR for the items designated as not essential was $<0.99$, based on the total number of experts, $N=6$, and the numerical values of the Lawshe table (14). Therefore, if the items are marked as nonessential, they should either be refined or removed from the instrument entirely.

## Face Validation

The developed FFQ then validated by ten adolescents for face validity. The process was done face-to-face with them. The questions and time taken to complete the FFQ were noted down.

## Data collection and analysis

Following consent from the parents of the selected adolescents to participate in the study, demographic data, food checklists, and 24 -hour dietary recall data were collected. Content validation of the newly developed Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) involved experts who were provided with a Content Validation Form (27, 60) via email, following their agreement to participate in the research.

## Ethical clearance

Ethical approval has been obtained from the UiTM ethics committee prior to this study. The reference number is REC/09/2021 (UG/MR/828).

## RESULTS

## Subjects

A total of 30 adolescents participated in this study aged from 13 years old to 17 years old $(15.87 \pm 1.31)$. Female made up $90 \%$ of the respondents and all of the respondents were Malays. The majority of them were staying at the hostel and only $23.3 \%$ received a monthly allowance of less than RM200.

## Development of a food list

The development of this FFQ resulted in the classification of 59 food items into ten categories of SSBs and HED foods based on literature review and 24-hour diet recall. The first five categories of this food list comprised of SSBs whilst the rest was composed of HED foods including biscuits, cake and bread, chocolate, fast foods, and confectionery. Food items of this FFQ consisted of nine fruit juices and drinks, six sweetened milk and cultured milk drinks, three carbonated beverages, followed by three sweetened chocolate malt, tea, and coffee, 12 vendor-made or home-prepared drinks, seven biscuits, cake, and bread, four chocolate, nine fast foods, and six confectioneries. All food groups and food items were presented as shown in Table I.
Table I: Number of items selected for the development of FFQ

| Item groups | Items | No. <br> of <br> items |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  <br> drinks | "apple drink", "orange drink", "blackcurrant drink", <br> "soy drink", "mango drink", "lychee drink", "iced lemon <br> tea", "chrysanthemum", "various cordial drink - Ribena, <br> Sanquick" | 9 |
| Sweetened milk <br> \& cultured milk <br> drinks | "strawberry flavoured", "fresh milk", "chocolate <br> flavoured", "full cream", "yogurt drink-Calpis, bliss", <br> "yogurt" | 6 |
| Carbonated bever- <br> ages | "cola", "orange", "isotonic drinks-100plus, Revive, 7up" |  |

## I-CVI Results (relevancy of individual food items)

I-CVI calculations for the food items' relevancy were presented in Table II. Item-Content Validity Items (I-CVI) from six expert panels determined that 55 items of the instruments had excellent content validity. There were only four items that needed to be revised due to the I-CVI levels of these food items being unacceptable. However, the overall content validity index of this FFQ was equal to 0.97 for Scale-Content Validity Item/ Average (SCVI/Ave). It can be concluded that this FFQ's content validity is excellent.

## Probability of chance agreement and Kappa Statistics

Table III shows the calculation of the probability of chance agreement (Pc) and Kappa statistics (K) for this newly developed FFQ. According to the results shown

Table II: Calculation of I-CVI for food items relevancy

| Items/Domain | Result of content validity index |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in Agreement | I-CVI | Interpretation |
| A. Fruit juice \& drinks |  |  |  |
| A1. Apple drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A2. Orange drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A3. Blackcurrant drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A4. Soy drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A5. Mango drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A6. Lychee drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A7. Iced lemon tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A8. Chrysanthemum tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| A9. Various flavour cordial drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| B. Sweetened milk \& cultured milk drinks |  |  |  |
| B1. Strawberry flavoured | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| B2. Fresh milk | 4 | 0.67 | Need for revision |
| B3. Chocolate flavoured | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| B4. Full cream | 5 | 0.83 | Appropriate |
| B5. Yoghurt drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| B6. Yoghurt | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| C. Carbonated beverages |  |  |  |
| C1. Cola | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| C2. Orange | 5 | 0.83 | Appropriate |
| C3. Isotonic drink | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| D. Sweetened chocolate malt, tea, \& coffee |  |  |  |
| D1. Malted drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| D2. Ready-to-drink coffee | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| D3. Ready-to-drink tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E. Vendor-made or home-prepared drinks |  |  |  |
| E1. Bandung | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E2. Jagung | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E3. Iced chocolate | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E4. Lychee in Syrup | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E5. Iced tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E6. Iced milk tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E7. Pearl milk tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E8. ABC | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |


| E. Vendor-made or home-prepared drinks |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| E9. Hot tea | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E10. Iced blend | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E11. Pre-mixed drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| E12. Coffee drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F. Biscuits, cake, \& bread |  |  |  |
| F1. Chocolate chips | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F2. Cheese sandwich | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F3. Plain biscuits | 4 | 0.67 | Need for <br> revision |
| F4. Sugar Crackers | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F5. Flavoured/cream/filled cookies | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F6. Kuih-muih | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| F7. Cake |  | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| G. Chocolate | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| G1. Chocolate rice cereal | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| G2. Wafer bar | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| G3. Chocolate bar |  |  |  |

Table II: Calculation of I-CVI for food items relevancy (continued)

| Items/Domain | Result of content validity index |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in Agreement | I-CVI | Interpretation |
| G4. Chocolate nugget | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H. Fast foods |  |  |  |
| H1. Burger | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H2. Fried chicken | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H3. Pizza | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H4. French fries | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H5. Nugget | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H6. Mashed potatoes | 4 | 0.67 | Need for revision |
| H7. Coleslaw | 4 | 0.67 | Need for revision |
| H8. Sausage/Hotdog/Frankfurter | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| H9. Instant Noodle Maggi | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| I. Confectionery |  |  |  |
| 11. Sweets/Lollipop | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| 12. Jelly/Custard | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| 13. Ice-cream | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| 14. Pastry | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| 15. Street foods | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| 16. Snacks/Crackers | 6 | 1.00 | Appropriate |
| Proportion Relevance | S-CVI/Ave | 0.97 | Excellent |

in the table, 55 items have excellent inter-rater reliability as their kappa values were above 0.74 .

## CVR Results (essentiality of each food item)

The result in Table IV shows the essentiality of each food item in this newly developed FFQ. Ten food items out of 59 were marked as not essential. The CVR for 49 items was 1.00 , eight items scored 0.67 , and two items scored 0.33.

## Experts' comments on FFQ

Table $V$ presents food items that needed for refinement or improvements. Expert 1 commented that plain and flavoured yoghurt in B (sweetened milk \& cultured milk) section needs to be separated and proposed to add (Others: $\qquad$ ) option for each section for the respondent to fill in the drinks that are not available in the FFQ. Next, Expert 2 stated that the B6 item needs to be changed to flavoured yoghurt. Meanwhile, Expert 3 suggested adding another flavour other than orange in section C (carbonated beverages). Expert 4 proposed adding other flavours for carbonated beverages which include grapes, strawberry, and apple. On the other hand, expert 5 recommended changing orange flavoured carbonated drinks to be more general on the flavours available in the market, removing the brand of products, and simplifying the items into an appropriate group. Last but not least, Expert 6 advised to change strawberry and chocolate flavoured milk to flavoured milk only and include another section for kuih-muih.

## Food list refinement results

Based on the calculation of I-CVI, CVR, and experts'

Table III: Kappa Score for relevancy of each food item

| Items/Domain | Result of kappa statistics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pc | Kappa statistic | Interpretation |
| A. Fruit juice \& drinks |  |  |  |
| A1. Apple drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A2. Orange drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A3. Blackcurrant drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A4. Soy drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A5. Mango drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A6. Lychee drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A7. Iced lemon tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A8. Chrysanthemum | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| A9. Various flavour cordial drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| B. Sweetened milk \& cultured milk drinks |  |  |  |
| B1. Strawberry flavoured | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| B2. Fresh milk | 0.234 | 0.57 | Fair |
| B3. Chocolate flavoured | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| B4. Full cream | 0.094 | 0.81 | excellentt |
| B5. Yoghurt drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| B6. Yoghurt | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| C. Carbonated beverages |  |  |  |
| C1. Cola | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| C2. Orange | 0.094 | 0.81 | Excellent |
| C3. Isotonic drink | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| D. Sweetened chocolate malt, tea, \& coffee |  |  |  |
| D1. Malted drinks | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| D2. Ready-to-drink coffee | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| D3. Ready-to-drink tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E. Vendor-made or home-prepared drinks |  |  |  |
| E1. Bandung | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E2. Jagung | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E3. Iced chocolate | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E4. Lychee in Syrup | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E5. Iced tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E6. Iced milk tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E7. Pearl milk tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E8. ABC | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E9. Hot tea | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E10. Iced blend | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E11. Pre-mixed drinks | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| E12. Coffee drinks | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F. Biscuits, cake, \& bread |  |  |  |
| F1. Chocolate chips | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F2. Cheese sandwich | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F3. Plain biscuits | 0.234 | 0.57 | Fair |
| F4. Sugar Crackers | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F5. Flavoured/cream/filled cookies | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F6. Kuih-muih | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| F7. Cake | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| G. Chocolate |  |  |  |
| G1. Chocolate rice cereal | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| G2. Wafer bar | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| G3. Chocolate bar | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| G4. Chocolate nugget | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H. Fast foods |  |  |  |
| H1. Burger | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H2. Fried chicken | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |

Table III: Kappa Score for relevancy of each food item (continued)

| Items/Domain | Result of kappa statistics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pc | Kappa statistic | Interpretation |
| H3. Pizza | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H4. French fries | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H5. Nugget | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H6. Mashed potatoes | 0.234 | 0.57 | Fair |
| H7. Coleslaw | 0.234 | 0.57 | Fair |
| H8. Sausage/Hotdog/Frankfurter | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| H9. Instant Noodle Maggi | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| I. Confectionery |  |  |  |
| I1. Sweets/Lollipop | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| 12. Jelly/Custard | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| I3. Ice-cream | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| 14. Pastry | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| 15. Street foods | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |
| I6. Snacks/Crackers | 0.016 | 1.00 | Excellent |

Table IV: Content Validity Ratio of Food Frequency Questionnaire

| Items/Domain | Result of content validity ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | CVR | Interpretation |
| A. Fruit juice \& drinks |  |  |  |
| A1. Apple drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A2. Orange drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A3. Blackcurrant drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A4. Soy drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A5. Mango drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A6. Lychee drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A7. Iced lemon tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| A8. Chrysanthemum | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| A9. Various flavour cordial drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| B. Sweetened milk \& cultured milk drinks |  |  |  |
| B1. Strawberry flavoured | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| B2. Fresh milk | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| B3. Chocolate flavoured | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| B. Sweetened milk \& cultured milk drinks |  |  |  |
| B4. Full cream | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| B5. Yoghurt drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| B6. Yoghurt | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| C. Carbonated beverages |  |  |  |
| C1. Cola | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| C2. Orange | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| C3. Isotonic drink | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| D. Sweetened chocolate malt, tea, \& coffee |  |  |  |
| D1. Malted drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| D2. Ready-to-drink coffee | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| D3. Ready-to-drink tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E. Vendor-made or home-prepared drinks |  |  |  |
| E1. Bandung | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E2. Jagung | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| E3. Iced chocolate | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E4. Lychee in Syrup | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E5. Iced tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E6. Iced milk tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E7. Pearl milk tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E8. ABC | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E9. Hot tea | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |

Table IV: Content Validity Ratio of Food Frequency Questionnaire (continued)

| Items/Domain | Result of content validity ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {e }}$ | CVR | Interpretation |
| E10. Iced blend | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E11. Pre-mixed drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| E12. Coffee drinks | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| F. Biscuits, cake, \& bread |  |  |  |
| F1. Chocolate chips | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| F2. Cheese sandwich | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| F3. Plain biscuits | 5 | 0.67 | Eliminated/Refined |
| F4. Sugar Crackers | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| F5. Flavoured/cream/filled cookies | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| F6. Kuih-muih | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| F7. Cake | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| G. Chocolate |  |  |  |
| G1. Chocolate rice cereal | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| G. Chocolate |  |  |  |
| G2. Wafer bar | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| G3. Chocolate bar | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| G4. Chocolate nugget | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H. Fast foods |  |  |  |
| H1. Burger | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H2. Fried chicken | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H3. Pizza | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H4. French fries | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H5. Nugget | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H6. Mashed potatoes | 4 | 0.33 | Eliminated |
| H7. Coleslaw | 4 | 0.33 | Eliminated |
| H8. Sausage/Hotdog/Frankfurter | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| H9. Instant Noodle Maggi | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| I. Confectionery |  |  |  |
| 11. Sweets/Lollipop | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| 12. Jelly/Custard | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| 13. Ice-cream | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| 14. Pastry | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| 15. Street foods | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |
| 16. Snacks/Crackers | 6 | 1.00 | Remained |

Table V: Experts' comments

| Experts | Comments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Expert 1 | 1. Separate plain and flavoured yoghurt in the Sweetened <br> milk \& cultured milk drinks section. <br> 2. Add (Others: ) option to each section for respon- <br> dents to fill the drinks that are not available in FFQ |
| Expert 2 | 1. Suggest B6 item change to flavoured yoghurt |
| Expert 3 | 1. Add another flavour other than orange in the carbonat- <br> ed drinks section |
| Expert 4 | 1. Suggest additional flavour for carbonated drinks <br> including grapes, strawberry, and apple |
| Expert 6 | 1. Change orange flavoured to flavoured carbonated <br> drinks. |
| 2. Suggest removing the brand of the products and sim- <br> plifying them in an appropriate group |  |
| 1. Change strawberry flavoured and chocolate flavoured <br> milk to flavoured milk only 2. Add another section for <br> kuih-muih |  |


| Item groups |  | Items | No. of items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sugar-sweetened beverages | Fruit juice \& drinks | "apple drink", "orange drink", "blackcurrant drink", "soy drink", "mango drink", "lychee drink", <br> "iced lemon tea", "chrysanthemum", "various cordial drink" | 9 |
|  | Sweetened milk \& cultured milk drinks | "flavoured milk", "fresh milk", "full cream", "yogurt drink", "plain yogurt", "flavoured yogurt" | 6 |
|  | Carbonated beverages | "cola", "flavoured carbonated beverages", "isotonic drink" | 3 |
|  | Sweetened chocolate malt, tea, \& coffee | "malted drink", "ready to drink coffee", "ready to drink tea" | 3 |
|  | Vendor-made or home-prepared drinks | "bandung", "jagung", "iced chocolate", "lychee in syrup", "iced tea", "iced milk tea", "pearl milk tea", "ABC", "hot tea", "iced blend", "pre-mixed drinks", "coffee drinks-cappuccino, mocha, latte" | 12 |
| High-energy dense food | Biscuits, cake \& bread | "chocolate chips", "cheese sandwich", "plain biscuits", "sugar crackers", "flavoured/cream/ filled cookies", "cake" | 6 |
|  | Kuih-muih | "donut", "karipap", "pulut bakar", "chakoi", "bahulu", "kuih keria", "pau" | 7 |
|  | Chocolate | "chocolate rice cereal", "wafer bar", "chocolate bar", "chocolate nugget" | 4 |
|  | Fast foods | "burger", "fried chicken", "pizza", "French fries", "nugget", "sausage/hotdog/frankfurter", "instant noodle" | 7 |
|  | Confectionery | "sweet/lollipop", "jelly/custard", "ice-cream", "pastry-pai, croissant, tart", "street foods-takoyaki, keropok lekor, sweetened corn", "snacks/crackers" | 6 |

comments, the final version of the food list of this newly developed FFQ had ten categories with 63 items. Table VI shows the finalized food items that were included in the FFQ.

## Frequency response section and portion sizes for each food item

Frequency response choices for each food item in each food group were "never", " 1 to 2 times per month", "once a week", "2 to 3 times per week", "4 to 6 times per week", "once a day", "2 to 3 times per day", "more than 4 times per day". Reference portion size for each food item was provided. In addition, a section of response choices for total serving size of every food item consumption was also included as " 1 ", " 2 ", " 3 ", " 4 ", and "> 5".

## Face validity

The face validity of 10 adolescent responses was then evaluated. The questions and mentioned foods were understood by the participants. To help responders better comprehend the questions in the FFQ, minor changes were made to the content phrasing. They took not more than 20 minutes to complete the questionnaire.

## DISCUSSION

Compared to other approaches, FFQs are a more cost-effective and feasible method for assessing dietary consumption in epidemiological studies (30). FFQs can also focus on specific nutrients (13). As the prevalence of overweight and obesity among Malaysian adolescents continues to rise, the use of FFQs in their research is gaining prominence, even though they are often originally designed for adults $(23,21)$. To address this, a data-based approach was used to develop an FFQ specifically for Malaysian adolescents, which is more likely to provide representative nutritional data (19).

However, cultural differences, food accessibility, and preferences may affect the applicability of adult-based FFQs to adolescents. Therefore, this study developed the first dietary assessment tool specifically for estimating the intake of SSBs and HED foods in Malaysian adolescents. The developed FFQ was carefully constructed to include food items that reflect the consumption of SSBs and HED foods among Malaysian adolescents, regardless of their sugar content.

The list of foods in this newly developed FFQ was based on foods that were commonly consumed by adolescents. Additionally, other food items that were considered to represent the population of interest were also included in the FFQ. The selection of 59 food items in the development phase was based on a previously tested approach (34), with an emphasis on SSBs and HED foods in the case of the 24 -hour diet recalls. Adolescents aged 13 to 17 years old were involved in this FFQ development process to generate a list of the most relevant food items in Malaysian adolescents' diets. The FFQs are practical and appropriate instruments for estimating food intake in children over the age of eight years (7). It has been highlighted that adolescents aged 13 to 17 years old are capable of completing FFQs and providing credible information without parental assistance (16). Nevertheless, estimating an adolescent's nutritional intake is notoriously difficult due to the inability to accurately estimate the amount of food consumed (22).

Food photographs or food models were discovered to be an effective aid in estimating food portion-sized in adolescents (4). It is regarded as an effective and relevant instrument in studies with children aged 9 years old and above (20). Therefore, this study developed and validated the FFQ with guided food images to aid in estimating food portion sizes. One study examined
the distribution of several food items in 227 studies that used FFQs and found that the median number of food items was 79 with a range of 5 to 350 items (6). This newly developed FFQ contains 63 food items, which we believed is the optimal number of items for accurately assessing the intake of SSBs and HED foods without burdening the study participants.

The disclosure of procedures and findings for the content validity of beverages' FFQ is rarely reported in research. It is crucial to provide such information to aid in the interpretation of epidemiological data (47). According to Shrotryia \& Dhanda (2019), content validity evidence can be presented by CVI. Although the most frequently used technique for determining content validity is to calculate the Item-level CVI (I-CVI), an alternative, unrecognised method for determining content validity is to compute the Scale-level CVI (S-CVI), which can be calculated using S-CVI/UA or S-CVI/Ave. The two approaches can produce inconsistent results, making it difficult to have an accurate conclusion about the content validity (28). The I-CVI assesses the content validity of individual items, whereas the S-CVI assesses the total scale's content validity. Since the majority of articles report the I-CVI and the S-CVI for their newly developed instruments, thus, this paper also included both of them (28).

The number of experts ( $\mathrm{n}=6$ ) was deemed sufficient for content validation, as the number of evaluators varied from three to ten (37). The invited experts were given seven days to respond to the invitation to participate in content validation steps, with several reminders sent out during the process. I-CVI value of 0.78 or above is considered excellent (38). All items in this newly developed FFQ had an I-CVI ranging from 0.67 to 1.00 , with only four items having an I-CVI of less than 0.78 . This appears to support the conclusion that individual items were significant and relevant for assessing the intake of SSBs and HED foods in adolescents. The S-CVI value between 0.80 and 0.90 is considered to be the minimum acceptable value (37). The calculated Average method suggested the overall content validity of this newly developed FFQ was high with S-CVI/Ave valued at 0.97 .

Furthermore, the Kappa statistics, in particular, is an important complement to the content validity index, CVI, as it provides information about the degree of agreement that is not due to chance (11). It was suggested that a kappa value of 0.40 is the minimum for reasonable agreement (17), however, another study suggested that kappa values between 0.21 and 0.40 indicate reasonable agreement (47). In this present study, all items were under reasonable agreement with 55 items marked as excellent $(k>0.74)$ and 4 items marked as fair ( $k=0.57$ ), demonstrating that the agreement amongst experts was not coincidental.

Next, the CVR approach is a less commonly used method of determining content validity. This method determines the number of experts who consider an item to be essential. All the items had a positive CVR value indicating that at least half of the experts considered the items to be essential (31). According to Schipper's table, the critical CVR for items with a value of $\alpha=0.05$ is 0.99 when six expert panels are involved (3). In this newly developed FFQ, ten items had a value less than 0.99 , which is considered not essential to be included in the instrument. However, most of the items were marked as relevant indicating that they were closely related to the topic but due to inadequate clarity for items, it has resulted in a low CVR score (27). Therefore, nonessential items that were marked as relevant by the expert remained in the FFQ.

The face validity is a type of construct validity that is used to evaluate the theory or hypothesis measured, which frequently conducted for questionnaires validation (48). The results of this validity test were consistent with research validating the FFQ for Malaysian adolescents' habitual food consumption (49).

The major strength of this study is that it is the first study to develop an FFQ to assess the intake of SSBs and HED foods among adolescents. Besides, this FFQ was developed for adolescents using data that included food items typically consumed by them. Additionally, the portion size images included in the FFQ as a reference portion was meant to help the participant by allowing them to point a finger to a specific portion size when asked to estimate their food consumption. In addition, the extensive processes taken to assure the FFQ's validity are also one of the strengths worth noting.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this newly developed FFQ consisted of 63 food items which were classified into ten food groups. This FFQ is the first instrument to evaluate the consumption of SSB and HED foods among Malaysian adolescents. In addition, this FFQ showed high content validity for individual items and overall questionnaire. The food items that require amendment have been refined or modified following the expert panel's comments and feedback. Furthermore, the calculation of the Kappa statistic showed that this newly developed FFQ has excellent inter-rater reliability at the item level. Minor modifications also have been made to the FFQ according to the adolescents feedback.
Despite the positive outcomes, several limitations must be taken into consideration. Firstly, both food checklist and 24 -hour diet recall have the same sources of inaccuracy such as relying on participants' memory and may be biased due to underestimation or overestimation. Secondly, this study used the 24 -hour diet recall as a method for data collection. A single day of 24 h diet recall is an inadequate way to figure out what an
individual usually eats because most people have a lot of variation in their food and nutrient intake from day to day. Therefore, this study established that the instrument possessed a sufficient level of content validity. The findings of this study imply that the newly developed FFQ could be utilised to estimate the intake of SSB and HED foods in Malaysian adolescents. Ultimately, this validated FFQ that was developed may appear to be a valuable tool for future studies to analyse the association between SSB and HED foods consumption and disease in this age group.
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