### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

### Thirty-minute Ambulatory Blood Pressure and Blood Biochemistry Measurements in Adults With Hypertension Using Herbs: A Cross-sectional Study

Natalia Shania Francis<sup>1</sup>, Lim Yang Mooi<sup>2</sup>, Sumaiyah Mat<sup>3</sup>, Teh Lai Kuan<sup>1</sup>, Raphael Joe Joachimdass<sup>1</sup>, \*Annaletchumy Loganathan<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Allied Health Sciences, Faculty of Science, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Kampar Campus, 31900 Kampar, Perak, Malaysia.
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Pre-Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Sungai Long Campus, 43000 Kajang, Selangor, Malaysia.
- <sup>3</sup> Centre for Healthy Aging and Wellness, Faculty of Health Sciences, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), 50300, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

### ABSTRACT

Introduction: Introduction: Globally, there is a growing interest of herbs usage among hypertensive patients. The aim of study was to investigate thirty-minute ambulatory blood pressure (ABP), blood biochemistry measurements and associated factors among hypertensive adults using herbs. Methods: This cross-sectional study was performed among hypertensive adults complementing herbs and attending primary care clinics in Kinta Valley, Perak. Data was collected using standard International Complementary and Alternative Medicine Questionnaire (I-CAMQ) and measurements of thirty-minute ABP and blood biochemistry were conducted using ambulatory blood pressure monitor and point of care units respectively. Results: A total of 86 hypertensive adults using 55 herb combinations were recruited. 80.3% commonly used traditional Chinese medicine, ulam and fenugreek for more than one year. The median age of the participants was 63. The participants complementing herbs had means' for waist-hip ratio and BMI in the high (0.92  $\pm$  0.07) and overweight (27.14  $\pm$  5.63) range respectively. Mean  $\pm$  SD reading of systolic blood pressure was 138 ± 14.27 mm/Hg and 81.4% had normal hemoglobin levels. The mean ± SD of total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein were normal; 166.81 ± 39.40 mg/dl and 85.15 ± 34.34 mg/dl with higher triglyceride readings 181.02 ± 61.24 mg/dl. SBP (>140 mm/Hg) and age range 61-70, waist/hip ratio (high risk for CVDs) among females and Malay ethnicity were associated with higher TC (p=0.003), HDL (p=0.006), LDL (p=0.001) and Chol/HDL (p=0.036). Conclusion: Complementing drugs with herbs associated with patients aged above 60, females and the Malay ethnicity. The patients' attitude of complementing drugs with herbs showed no impact on the measured physiological and biochemical parameters. A randomized controlled trial with a fixed herb regime is warranted.

Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences (2023) 19(SUPP9): 205-220. doi:10.47836/mjmhs.19.s9.30

Keywords: Herbs; Anti-hypertensive drugs; Ambulatory blood pressure measurements; Hypertension; Lipid profile

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Annaletchumy Loganathan, PhD Email: annal@utar.edu.my Tel: +605-4688888

### INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is defined as persistent elevations of systolic blood pressure (SBP) of 140 mm/Hg or greater and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of 90 mm/Hg or greater, taken at least twice on two separate occasions (1). It is a major risk factor for heart failure, stroke, renal failure and myocardial infarction (2). It

was tabulated that 1.13 billion people globally were affected by hypertension and the death toll recorded was at an approximate value of 7.5 million (1). Globally including in Malaysia, there is a spike in the prevalence of hypertension from years 2015 to 2021 with a significant increase of 14.1% among individuals above the age of 30 years old (3).

Nevertheless, only one-quarter of Malaysians have been able to achieve blood pressure control despite the availability of many treatment options to curb hypertension (4). Among the factors attributed to poor control of blood pressure were delays in stepping up treatment regimens such as lifestyle modification, and poor adherence to structured treatment (5).

On the other hand, there are evidence of hypertension patients using different herbs regime prescribed by herbs practitioners namely traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) and self-prescribed herbs (6). TCM practitioners use various combinations of herbs in order to treat elevated blood pressure by focusing on patients' psychological and physical factors (7). An example of effective TCM use is Qian Yang He Ji which improved blood pressure readings significantly (p<0.001) (8). The self-prescribed herbs being used are usually raw plants that are either dried, minimally processed or even mildly boiled and infused (9). A study conducted by Asgary et al. (2016) reported that hypertensive patients with prescribed antihypertensive drugs found the use of self-prescribed herbs such as Vaccinium myrtillus was efficient in controlling hypertension (10).

Self-prescribed herbs are the act of complementing herbs or herbs supplements with conventional antihypertensive drugs in self-managing hypertension. A study by Ardalani et al. (2016), reported that participants who consumed Rhus coriaria capsules complemented with captopril reported significant improvements in SBP (p=0.03) and DBP (p=0.04) compared to the placebo group (11). Moreover, there have also been studies that elucidate the effectiveness of complementing herbs such as Salvia miltiorrhiza with diuretics and beta blockers in improving total cholesterol (TC) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels (p=0.01) (12). Body mass index (BMI) values have also reported improvements (p<0.01) when Cynara scolymus was complemented with captopril (13).

Furthermore, blood pressure measurements using ambulatory blood pressure monitors (ABPM), are useful in detecting blood pressure variations and can be used as a treatment guidance for detecting treated but uncontrolled hypertension as it is able to measure the blood pressure readings over prolonged periods. Previous studies have explained that the usage of ABPM is preferred and is the gold standard as it provides consistency and is a better predictor for hypertension (14). Moreover, Point of Care Technology (PoCT) usage to measure parameters like lipid profile was found to be comparable to those done in laboratories and can be completed within five minutes (15). The use of PoCT can reduce cost, waiting time and the necessity for multiple visits. However, the use of PoCT and ABPM in actual clinical settings, particularly in Asian regions are rather limited (16).

A study reported some associated factors with the use of herbs among patients include females, higher

education levels, high socioeconomic backgrounds and those with multiple ailments (17). There are plausible studies conducted worldwide on the prevalence of self-prescribed herbs use among adults with hypertension and performed assessments on blood pressure, anthropometry and blood biochemistry which reduced overall blood pressure readings alongside improving BMI, TC, LDL and HDL levels (11-13).

Some problem statements that are present however include, the prevalent usage of herbs with antihypertensive drugs in developing and developed countries as the number of people with hypertension still remains high despite using conventional treatments. Moreover, published articles on the concurrent herbs and drug usage in the management of hypertension, anthropometry measurements and biochemistry analysis are relatively low in Malaysia. Hence, the aim of the study was to identify the usage of herbs with anti-hypertensive drugs and to determine blood pressure levels, biochemistry analysis and anthropometry measurements using ABPM and PoCT among hypertensive patients complementing herbs and its' association with sociodemographic details in Malaysian primary health clinics.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study area and design

This research was conducted in Klinik Kesihatan Kampar (KK Kampar), a primary health clinic in the suburban district of Kampar which is located 160 km northwest of the capital of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, and Tabib Cina Teh Hun Phing which is situated in Jalan Pasir Pinji, Ipoh, Perak. The study was a cross-sectional based descriptive study that measured outcomes and exposures among the study participants.

### Sample size calculation and determination

The sample size was determined based on the characteristics of randomized participants before and after complementing herbs. These values were taken from a paper published by Ardalani et al., (2019) due to the similarity it shares with this study. Using the online software G\*power, it was calculated that 82 participants must be enrolled in this study with an effect size of 0.3 and an alpha error probability of 0.05. Upon the inclusion of a five percent dropout rate calculation, 86 participants were recruited.

### Inclusion and Exclusion criteria

The inclusion criterion for the participants were that they had to be on anti-hypertensive drugs administered by doctors, actively complementing herbs and have completed booster vaccination for Covid-19. Participants who complemented herbs were categorized as often (two to three times a week), sometimes (less than once a week) and rarely (less than twice a month). Adults with an age range of 18 years to 80 years, were included in the study.

### **Data Collection Tool**

A semi-structured online questionnaire was used for data collection. This questionnaire was developed based on I-CAMQ which assesses the types of herbs and herbal supplements being taken by the participants (18) and other literature reviews. The questionnaire consists of six sections namely; sociodemographic characteristics, disease details and high blood pressure management, medical history, I-CAMQ and general information. A pre-test of the questionnaire was done with 10 subjects who were independent to the study. The questionnaire is available in English and Bahasa Melayu respectively. A patient complication form was given to the doctors in order for them to screen the participants on their herb usage and health complications.

### Screening tools

Ambulatory and PoCT analysis were used to measure the thirty-minute blood pressure and blood levels of lipid profiles and hemoglobin (Hb) among hypertensive adults using herbs. The GMM H01 Body BMI Health Scale Ultrasonic Height, Weight & Fat Analyzer was used to measure height (cm), weight (kg) and BMI levels while waist and hip circumference was measured by a measuring tape. Blood pressure was measured using the ABPM machine, biochemical test for hemoglobin was assessed via hemocue and an Ultra Mission Cholesterol meter was used for lipid profile outcomes.

### **Ethical Consideration and Approval**

Approval was obtained from the UTAR Scientific and Ethical Review Committee (SERC) with the code U/SERC/127/2021, National Medical Research Register (NMRR ID:22-00365-3NJ (IIR)) and other relevant approvals such as from Klinik Kesihatan Kampar as well as Tabib Cina Teh Hun Phing for site approval prior to the start of any study related activities. The informed consents were obtained during the official visit at the clinics by the investigator via face-to-face meetings. Written informed consent was obtained via patient information sheet and consent form which was given to participants as a hardcopy.

### **Data Collection Method**

The target population included all eligible hypertensive adults attending the clinics in the time interval of the study period which was from March 2022 to October 2022. Purposive sampling technique was employed to recruit study participants. The participants were recommended by the doctors and the measurements were taken once the participants' current treatment regime for hypertension (conventional regime) and herbs regime were identified. The participants were first guided to fill in the semi-structured online questionnaire to determine sociodemographic factors and types of herbs complemented. Participants were not required to sign in to an account in order to fill in the questionnaire.

## Anthropometric measurements for BMI and waist/hip ratio

Weight (kg) and height (m) were measured using the GMM H01 Body BMI Health Scale Ultrasonic Height, Weight & Fat Analyzer and the BMI values were generated automatically. The participants had to be barefooted with their heads upright and the analyzer was properly adjusted to obtain accurate readings as the measurements were only done once (19). Next, a stretch-resistant tape was used for measuring hip and waist circumference (WC) to calculate the waist/hip ratio. The narrowest point between the ribs and iliac crests was located and the placement of the measuring tape was snugly around it for waist measurement. The same was done at the roundest part of the rear for hip measurement and these were recorded in centimetres (20). Then, an online waist-to-hip calculator was used to calculate the results.

### Thirty-minute ambulatory blood pressure measurements

Blood pressure was measured using an ambulatory blood pressure monitor (ABPM) with an appropriate cuff size which was not too loose or tight and was fixed onto the participant's arm by the researcher. The cuff was inflated at 5-minute intervals throughout a 30-minute period in order to obtain six blood pressure readings. The usage of the ABPM machine was explained thoroughly to the participants before fixing it to their arms to get the mean blood pressure, SBP and DBP. The digital monitor helped record the blood pressure readings during these intervals and was recorded into the researchers' device through the Vi-Health mobile application that was connected to the machine via Bluetooth (21).

# Blood Biochemistry measurements of hemoglobin and lipid profile

The sample collection for the biochemical tests were done using the finger pricking technique and only a single deep prick was required to collect 10  $\mu$ L of blood. The participant's finger was sterilised and punctured and the first drop of blood was wiped off while the second round drop of blood was collected. For lipid panel measurements, the Ultra Mission cholesterol monitor was used. Once the machine was turned on, the blood from the finger prick was added onto the strip and the lipid panel outcomes appeared on the meter together

with the unit of measurement that was set. The same steps were repeated for hemoglobin measurement whereby PoCT measurement was done with hemocue. Blood was filled into the microcuvette in one continuous process. The analyzer was turned on and the microcuvette was placed in the cuvette holder. Then, the cuvette holder was closed and the results were displayed within 15-60 seconds (22). Safety precautions were taken while handling and disposing of biohazard materials. The lancets, strips and microcuvettes were then disposed of into biohazard bags.

### **Data Analysis**

The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) software, version 26. The z-test was applied for checking the normality of the data using kurtosis and skewness. The descriptive statistics of mean, median, standard deviation, frequency and percentages were calculated for the entire sample. A chi-square analysis was done for association analysis between sociodemographic characteristics with anthropometric, blood pressure and biochemical parameters. In this study, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### RESULTS

# Participants' sociodemographic characteristics and medical histories

The initial number of participants recruited in this study was 88, however two of them decided to drop out resulting in a response rate of 97.7%. Table I displayed the sociodemographic and anthropometric characteristics of the study participants. Majority of the participants were from the age category of 61-70 (n=34; 39.5%) with a median age of 63. The ethnicity tabulation on the other hand showed that the participants were mostly Chinese (n=45; 52.3%), followed by Indians (24.4%) and Malays (23.3%). In addition to this, 52.3% of them had an income of less than three thousand while 61.6% had attained a secondary level of education. Moreover, the study participants were also mostly comprised of females. The commonly reported duration of using herbs was for more than one year and these herbs were mainly self-prescribed.

Table II on the other hand detailed the participants' medical histories. The majority of the participants had complaints of having high cholesterol (n= 63; 73.3%), followed by diabetes (46.5%), muscle pains (37.2%), migraine (20.9%) and asthma (18.6%). Furthermore, 58.1% of the participants had at least three co-morbidities.

| Table I :   | Socio-demographic | characteristics | of | the | study |
|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|----|-----|-------|
| participant | ts                |                 |    |     |       |

| Variables                      | Total | (n=86) | <b>Chi-square</b>          |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|----------------------------|
|                                | n     | %      | $(\chi^2)$ ; p-value       |
| Age groups                     |       |        |                            |
| <60                            | 25    | 29.1   | 1.558; 0.459               |
| 61-70                          | 34    | 39.5   |                            |
| >70                            | 27    | 31.4   |                            |
| Gender                         |       |        |                            |
| Male                           | 34    | 39.5   | 3.767; 0.052               |
| Female                         | 52    | 60.5   |                            |
| Ethnicity                      |       |        |                            |
| Malay                          | 20    | 23.3   | 13.977; 0.001              |
| Chinese                        | 45    | 52.3   |                            |
| Indian or Others               | 21    | 24.4   |                            |
| Income levels                  |       |        |                            |
| No income                      | 21    | 24.4   | 13.977; 0.001              |
| Below 3k                       | 45    | 52.3   |                            |
| Above 3k                       | 20    | 23.3   |                            |
| Education                      |       |        |                            |
| NA/Primary                     | 19    | 22.1   | 31.419; 0.000 <sup>3</sup> |
| Secondary                      | 53    | 61.6   |                            |
| Tertiary                       | 14    | 16.3   |                            |
| Herb Usage Frequen             | су    |        |                            |
| Sometimes                      | 3     | 3.5    | 74.419; 0.000 <sup>3</sup> |
| Often                          | 83    | 96.5   |                            |
| Herb Usage Duration            | ı     |        |                            |
| <1 year                        | 17    | 19.7   | 31.442; 0.000 <sup>3</sup> |
| >1 year                        | 69    | 80.3   |                            |
| Complemented Herb              | S     |        |                            |
| Self-Care                      | 65    | 75.6   | 22.512; 0.000 <sup>3</sup> |
| Prescribed<br>(TCM and others) | 21    | 24.4   |                            |

(TCM and others)

\*indicates significance of p<0.05 for goodness of fit chi-square analysis

| Table II : Medical F<br>herbs and drugs | History o | of participa | ants complementing   | Parkinson                                     |                        |                |                      |
|---|-----------|--------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------------|
| neros anu urugs                         |           |              |                      | No  | 86                     | 100.0          | -                    |
| Medical History                         | Total     | (n=86)       | Chi-square           | Peptic Ulcer                                  |                        |                |                      |
| -                                       | n         | %            | $(\chi^2)$ ; p-value | No  | 86                     | 100.0          | -                    |
| Asthma                                  |           |              |                      | Stroke  |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 70        | 81.4         | 33.907; 0.000*       | No  | 79                     | 91.9           | 60.279; 0.000*       |
| Yes                                     | 16        | 18.6         |                      | Yes   | 7                      | 8.1            |                      |
| Cancer                                  |           |              |                      | Thyroid Disease                               |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 84        | 97.7         | 78.186; 0.000*       | No  | 84                     | 97.7           | 78.186; 0.000*       |
| Yes                                     | 2         | 2.3          |                      | Yes   | 2                      | 2.3            |                      |
| Heart Disease                           |           |              |                      | Urinary Tract In                              | fection (U             | TI)            |                      |
| No                                      | 82        | 95.3         | 70.744; 0.000*       | No  | 84                     | 97.7           | 78.186; 0.000*       |
| Yes                                     | 4         | 4.7          |                      | Yes   | 2                      | 2.3            |                      |
| Diabetes                                |           |              |                      | Falls   |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 46        | 53.5         | 0.419; 0.518         | No  | 78                     | 90.7           | 56.977; 0.000*       |
| Yes                                     | 40        | 46.5         |                      | Yes   | 8                      | 9.3            |                      |
| Cholesterol                             |           |              |                      | Osteoporosis                                  |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 23        | 26.7         | 18.605; 0.000*       | No  | 85                     | 98.8           | 82.047; 0.000*       |
| Yes                                     | 63        | 73.3         |                      | Yes   | 1                      | 1.2            |                      |
| Uric Acid                               |           |              |                      | Number of Com                                 | orbidities             |                |                      |
| No                                      | 86        | 100.0        | -                    | <2  | 50                     | 58.1           | 2.279; 0.131         |
| Kidney Disease                          |           |              |                      | 3 or more                                     | 36                     | 41.9           |                      |
| ,<br>No                                 | 84        | 97.7         | 78.186; 0.000*       | *indicates significance of                    | -                      |                |                      |
| Yes                                     | 2         | 2.3          | ,                    | '-' indicates that chi-squa                   | re analysis wa         | s not done due | to insufficient data |
| Leukemia                                |           |              |                      | <b>Prescribed anti-h</b><br>Table III present |                        | 0              | 0                    |
| No                                      | 86        | 100.0        | -                    | drugs consumed                                | by the pa              | rticipants.    | Amlodipine was       |
| Liver Disease                           |           |              |                      | the highly prese<br>with 33 of them           |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 85        | 98.8         | 82.047; 0.000*       | combat hyperte<br>participants were           | nsion. C               | Overall, 6     | 6.27% of the         |
| Yes                                     | 1         | 1.2          | ,                    | were also taken                               | in com                 | bination v     | vith other drugs     |
| Migraine                                |           |              |                      | such as bisoprol<br>atenolol, valsarta        | •                      | • •            |                      |
| No                                      | 68        | 79.1         | 29.070; 0.000*       | other hand, 55                                | types of               | different      | combinations of      |
| Yes                                     | 18        | 20.9         | _,                   | herbs were repor<br>ulam was the hi           | ghest <sup>°</sup> amo | ong all the    | e combination of     |
| Muscle Pain                             | . 2       |              |                      | herbs in this stu<br>specifically practi      |                        |                |                      |
| No                                      | 54        | 62.8         | 5.628; 0.018*        | examples of the                               | common                 | types of       | ulam consumed        |
|   |           |              | -,                   | include Momord                                |                        |                | gourd), Centella     |

Table II : Medical History of participants complementing

Yes

32

37.2

asiatica (pennywort), Cucumis sativus (cucumber)

and Carica papaya (papaya leaves). The next

### Table III : Number of users for specific antihypertensive drug combinations

| Antihypertensive Drug Combination  | Number of Users (n), Percentage (%) |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| Amlodipine   | 33 (38.4)                           |
| Atenolol   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Bisoprolol   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Hydrochlorothiazide  | 2 (2.3)                             |
| Losartan   | 3 (3.5)                             |
| Micardis   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Perindopril  | 4 (4.7)                             |
| Valsartan  | 1 (1.2)                             |
| <b>Amlodipine</b> + Acetylsalicylic acid or Atenolol or Bisoprolol or Hydrochlorothia-<br>zide or Perindopril or Valsartan (1 drug)                            | 14 (16.3)                           |
| <b>Amlodipine</b> + Bisoprolol + Perindopril or Perindopril + Acetylsalicylic acid or<br>Perindopril + Atenolol or Hydrochlorothiazide + Perindopril (2 drugs) | 9 (10.5)                            |
| Amlodipine + Bisoprolol + Perindopril + Acetylsalicylic acid (3 drugs)   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Acetylsalicylic + Perindopril + Aspirin  | 1 (1.2)                             |
| <b>Bisoprolol</b> + Acetylsalicylic acid or Hydrochlorothiazide or Perindopril or Lo-<br>sartan  | 8 (9.3)                             |
| <b>Bisoprolo</b> l + Perindopril + Acetylsalicylic acid or Valsartan + Hydrochlorothia-<br>zide (2 drugs)  | 3 (3.5)                             |
| Losartan + Hydrochlorothiazide   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Perindopril + Acetylsalicylic acid   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Perindopril + Hydrochlorothiazide + Acetylsalicylic acid   | 1 (1.2)                             |
| Termisartan + Hydrochlorothiazide  | 1 (1.2)                             |

frequent combination of herbs was tian ma gou teng yin (Gastrodia and Uncaria) which is classified as Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) with 14% (n=12) usage and commonly used by the Chinese participants. Trigonella foenum-graecum (fenugreek) was the third prevalent herb used among the participants in this study (n=8; 9.3%). Table IV elucidates the information on the diversity of complemented herbs with the frequency of intake and preparation methods respectively.

# Measurements of anthropometry parameters (BMI and Waist/Hip Ratio)

Table V provided information on the participants anthropometry, thirty-minute blood pressure and biochemistry measurements. It is detailed that there was only a single person difference who distinguished participants in the normal and overweight BMI categories, with the majority falling under the normal BMI category (n=34; 39%). Subsequently, 22.1% of the individuals were obese. The mean  $\pm$  SD for BMI was 27.14  $\pm$  5.63 kg/m<sup>2</sup> which falls in the overweight group. Furthermore, the waist/hip ratio measurement showed that 47.7% of participants fell under the high risk of cardiovascular diseases as their mean  $\pm$  SD values were 0.92  $\pm$  0.07. Thus, complementing herbs with anti-hypertensive drugs was more common among the participants with a high risk for cardiovascular disease.

### Measurements of thirty-minute of blood pressure

Blood pressure measurements via ABPM reported that the overall mean $\pm$  SD of SBP among participants was 137.56  $\pm$  14.27 mmHg whereby 51 (59.3%) of them had blood pressure readings of less than 140 mm/Hg. Similarly, DBP had a mean $\pm$  SD of 77.57  $\pm$  10.18 with a large majority of the participants reporting readings of less than 90 mm/Hg (n=78; 90.7%). Table 5 elucidates on the blood pressure measurements recorded.

| Complement Herbs  | Number of Users (n),<br>Percentage (%) | Frequency of Intake           | Preparation<br>Methods |
|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Almond and herbal concoction soup                                     | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |
| Aloe Vera   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Blended                |
| Apple Cider Vinegar with Butterfly pea and honey                      | 1 (1.2)                                | 2x/week                       | Boiled                 |
| Bamboo Orchid   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Raw                    |
| Celery Juice  | 1 (1.2)                                | 2x/week                       | Blended                |
| Chamomile tea   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Soaked                 |
| Chinese herbal tea (Pu-er/ Guan Yin)                                  | 6 (7)                                  | 1x/daily (4),<br>2x/week (2), | Soaked                 |
| Chinese Herbal tea (Herbal Sachets)                                   | 1 (1.2)                                | 2x/day                        | Soaked                 |
| Chinese Herbal tea with Grape seed extract                            | 1 (1.2)                                | 2x/week                       | Blended                |
| Citrus + Cactus (Powdered beverage)                                   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | As prescribed          |
| Cloves of garlic  | 1 (1.2)                                | 3x/week                       | Boiled                 |
| Cumin + Cloves (Blended)  | 1 (1.2)                                | 2x/week                       | Blended                |
| Cumin seed with fenugreek seeds                                       | 1 (1.2)                                | 3x/week                       | Blended                |
| Cumin seeds   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Soaked                 |
| Cumin with Ladies finger water  | 1 (1.2)                                | 2-3x/week                     | Soaked and<br>blended  |
| Fenugreek seeds + Carom seeds+ Black cumin                            | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |
| Fenugreek seeds + Cumin seeds + Curry leaf +<br>Coriander + Cinnamon  | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |
| Fenugreek (powder); Cardomon+ Cloves                                  | 1 (1.2)                                | 2-3x/week<br>(Alternate)      | Boiled/                |
|   |  |                               | Blended                |
| Fenugreek and Murungai leaf   | 1 (1.2)                                | 2-3x/week                     | Soaked                 |
| Fenugreek powder  | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |
| Fenugreek seeds   | 2 (2.3)                                | 3x/week                       | Raw                    |
| Ginger tea  | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |
| Ginseng   | 1 (1.2)                                | 4-5x/month                    | Boiled                 |
| Ginseng extract with Goldflex (Organic soy +Egg<br>+Collagen peptide) | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | As prescribed          |
| Ginseng + Garlic + Lime   | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Soaked                 |
| Goji berries + wolfberries  | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Soaked                 |
| Goji berries + Dates  | 1 (1.2)                                | 2-3x/week                     | Soaked                 |
| Goji berry with ginger and papaya leaf extract                        | 1 (1.2)                                | 3x/week                       | Boiled                 |
| Grape seed extract and Cats claw (pill)                               | 1 (1.2)                                | 3-4x/week                     | As prescribed          |
| Green tea with lemon leaves and agarwood leaves (self-planted)        | 1 (1.2)                                | 1x/daily                      | Boiled                 |

| Table IV : Information on diversity of | complemented herbs with freque | ncy of intake and preparation methods |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|  |                                |                                       |

| Guan Yin tea with Jujube and Wolfberry                           | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Soaked                    |
|--|---------|---|---------------------------|
| Hawthorn slice with water and lemon                              | 1 (1.2) | 4x/week   | Boiled                    |
|  |         |   |                           |
| Wolfberry and Ginseng extract                                    | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Boiled                    |
| Jujubee + Wolfberry  | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Boiled                    |
| Ladys finger water   | 1 (1.2) | 3x/week   | Soaked                    |
| Lime + Salvia + Wolfberry + Jujube + Mongolian<br>Milkvetch      | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Boiled                    |
| Moringa seeds  | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Raw                       |
| Murungai Leaf  | 1 (1.2) | 3x/week   | Boiled                    |
| Murungai Leaf + Curry leaf; Pennywort + Ginger +<br>Fennel seeds | 1 (1.2) | 2-3x/week (Alter-<br>nate)  | Boiled                    |
| Nuvafemme (Soy Extract)  | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | As prescribed             |
| Oolong tea   | 1 (1.2) | 2x/week   | Soaked                    |
| Papaya leaf extract  | 1 (1.2) | 3x/week   | Soaked                    |
| Papaya leaf and bamboo orchid                                    | 1 (1.2) | 4-5x/week   | Raw                       |
| Peria  | 1 (1.2) | 3x/week   | Blended                   |
| Primrose   | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | As prescribed             |
| Red sage root + Grape seed extract (pill),                       | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Prescribed                |
| Sacha Inchi Seeds  | 3 (3.5) | Daily (1),<br>4x/week (2)   | As prescribed<br>(Boiled) |
| Tian Ma Gou Teng Yin (Granules water)                            | 12 (14) | 3x/day; 3g per time   | As prescribed             |
| Tiger milk mushroom + Pine root (sliced)                         | 1 (1.2) | 1-2x/week   | Raw                       |
| Tok Adi Juice  | 1 (1.2) | 2x/day  | Soaked                    |
| Turmeric water with pepper, minyak zaitun and coconut oil        | 1 (1.2) | 3x/week   | Blended                   |
| Turmeric with milk   | 2 (2.3) | 1x/daily  | Boiled                    |
| Ulam   | 12 (14) | 1x/week (2), 2x/<br>week (2), 3-4x/<br>week (6), 4-5x/<br>month (2) | Raw                       |
| Uncaria tomentosa (Cat's Claw tea)                               | 1 (1.2) | 2-3x/week   | Boiled                    |
| Wolfberry and Ginseng extract                                    | 1 (1.2) | 1x/daily  | Boiled                    |

# Measurements of Blood biochemistry parameters (hemoglobin and lipid profiles)

Table V tabulated the information on hemoglobin and lipid profile measurements. Normal hemoglobin readings were observed among 81.4% (n=70) of the participants with a mean  $\pm$  SD of  $13.17\pm1.16$ . Only 16 of the participants had low hemoglobin readings. Moving on to lipid profiles, it was found that total cholesterol (TC) outcome had a mean  $\pm$  SD of 166.81 $\pm$  39.40 and 80.2% (n=69) of participants had normal readings of less than 200mg/dl. Next, for triglycerides (TG), most of the participants (n=36) had elevated readings followed by 32 with normal readings although the mean of this parameter was 181.02 mg/dl which is classified as borderline high. The goodness of fit chi-square was significant with p=0.044 for TG hence indicating that participants commonly complementing herbs in this study had readings that were high. Furthermore, 55.8% (n=48) of the participants also had normal HDL readings with a mean of 46.21. This showed that most of the male participants had HDL levels within the

| Variables                | Measure   | d Outcomes                      | Reference Values   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                          | n (%)     | Chi-square $(\chi^2)$ ; p-value | _  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BMI                      |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 27.1      | 4 ± 5.63                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <24.9                    | 34 (39.5) | 4.907; 0.086                    | Normal weight = 18.5–24.9kg/m²<br>Overweight = 25–29.9 kg/m² |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overweight               | 33 (38.4) |                                 | Obese = $>30 \text{ kg/m}^2$                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obese                    | 19 (22.1) |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Waist/Hip Ratio          |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 0.92      | $2 \pm 0.07$                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low Risk                 | 25 (29.1) | 8.395; 0.015*                   | M: ≤0.95; F: ≤0.80<br>M: 0.96 to 0.99; F: 0.81 to 0.84       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moderate Risk            | 20 (23.3) |                                 | $M: \ge 1.0; F: \ge 0.85$                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Risk                | 41 (47.7) |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Systolic Blood Pressure  |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 137.5     | 6 ± 14.27                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <140 mm/Hg               | 51 (59.3) | 2.977; 0.084                    | Not Applicable   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >140 mm/hg               | 35 (40.7) |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diastolic Blood Pressure |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 77.57     | ' ± 10.18                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <90 mm/Hg                | 78 (90.7) | 56.977; 0.000*                  | Not Applicable   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| >90 mm/Hg                | 8 (9.3)   |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Haemoglobin              |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 13.1      | 7 ± 1.16                        | M: <13.0 g/dl; F: <12.0 g/dl                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low                      | 16 (18.6) | 44.698; 0.000*                  | M: 13.0 g/dl- 17.5 g/dl; F: 12.0 g/dl- 15.3 g/dl             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal                   | 70 (81.4) |                                 | (WHO, 2020)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cholesterol        |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 166.8     | 1 ± 39.40                       | 125–200 mg/dl  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal                   | 69 (80.2) | 31.442; 0.000*                  | >200 mg/dl   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High                     | 17 (19.8) |                                 | (NIH, 2021)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Triglycerides            |           |                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean ± SD                | 181.0     | 2 ± 61.24                       | <150mg/dl  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Normal                   | 32 (37.2) | 6.233; 0.044*                   | 150-199mg/dl   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Borderline               | 18 (20.9) |                                 | ≥ 200 mg/dl  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High                     | 36 (41.9) |                                 | (NIH, 2021)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| <b>T     \/ \/</b>  |                   |                 |                  |             |                               |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
|                     | l outcomos ot ant | thronomotry bla | and proceura and | hinchomical | narameters among narticinants |
| I able V . Measuret | i outcomes of am  |                 | oou pressure anu | DIUCHEIIICA | parameters among participants |
|                     |                   |                 |                  |             |                               |

| High-Density Lipoprotein                       |   |                      |                          |             |
|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Mean ± SD                                      | 46.21 ±                                       | 13.97                | M: ≤40mg/dl; F: ≤50mg/dl |             |
| Low  | 38 (44.2)                                     | 1.163; 0.281         | M: >40 mg/dl; F: >50mg/d |             |
| Normal   | 48 (55.8)                                     |                      |                          | (NIH, 2021) |
| Low-Density Lipoprotein                        |   |                      |                          |             |
| Mean ± SD                                      | 85.15 ±                                       | 34.34                | <100 mg/dl               |             |
| Normal   | 68 (79.1)                                     | 29.070; 0.000        | ≥ 100mg/dl               |             |
| High   | 18 (20.9)                                     |                      |                          | (NIH, 2021) |
| Chol/HDL                                       |   |                      |                          |             |
| Mean ± SD                                      | D 3.77 ± 1.3                                  |                      | ≤3.5 mg/dl               |             |
| Normal   | 51 (59.3)                                     | 2.977; 0.084         | >3.5mg/dl                |             |
| High   | 35 (40.7)                                     |                      |                          | (NIH, 2021) |
| High<br><b>Chol/HDL</b><br>Mean ± SD<br>Normal | 18 (20.9)<br>3.77 ±<br>51 (59.3)<br>35 (40.7) | 1.37<br>2.977; 0.084 | ≤3.5 mg/dl               |             |

\*indicates significance of p<0.05 for goodness of fit chi-square analysis

normal range while the female participants did not. LDL readings reported that 79.1% (n=68) of the participants had normal levels with a mean  $\pm$  SD of 85.15  $\pm$  34.34 while only 18 participants had high LDL. Lastly, 59.3% of participants had normal Chol/HDL readings despite the mean value of Chol/HDL being 3.77.

#### Associated factors analysis

association between sociodemographic The characteristics of the participants with measurements of anthropometry, thirty-minutes blood pressure and biochemistry measurements inclusive of hemoglobin and lipid profile outcomes were studied. The participants at the age range between 61-70 were significantly associated with SBP measurements of more than 140 mm/Hg ( $\chi^2 = 24.500$ , p=0.001). The female participants were significantly associated with higher waist/hip ratios which meant having higher risks for CVDs ( $\chi^2 = 8.676$ , p=0.013). Furthermore, the Malay participants were reported to have significantly high total cholesterol ( $\chi^2 = 11.393$ , p=0.003), low HDL ( $\chi^2$  =10.246, p=0.006), high LDL ( $\chi^2$  = 13.394, p=0.001) and low Chol/HDL  $(\chi^2 = 6.667, p=0.036)$ . However, the analysis done between the complementation of drugs and herbs as well as its duration and frequency of usage did not show any positive associations with the measured parameters such as blood pressure, anthropometry and biochemical outcomes. Tables VI and VII respectively depict the association analysis detail.

#### DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional study is groundbreaking as it explores the unique practice of hypertensive patients that practice complementation of drugs with a diverse range of herbs as a part of their healthcare regimen. Information on the types of herbs and their various preparation methods as well as the sociodemographic group of patients that commonly complement drugs with herbs were uncovered. Although the act of complementing herbs by these patients did not exhibit an impact on the blood pressure levels, anthropometry measurements and biochemical analysis, this study unveiled other intriguing associations. This includes older patients above the age of 60 that had higher systolic readings, females who were more predisposed to higher risks of CVDs and also Malays with elevated cholesterol.

A survey carried out by the Ministry of Health Malaysia reported that biological-based therapies which include herbal products and pure herbs outlined to have the highest use among Malaysians (23). This could be attributed to the fact that the bioactive compounds found in herbs are able to exert anti-hypertensive effects such as relaxing smooth muscles in the walls of blood vessels (24). Studies have found that complementing herbs with anti-hypertensive drugs could lead to the amelioration of not only blood pressure but also improves parameters such as glucose, cholesterol and also BMI levels (9,10). Since studies on complementation are scarce in Malaysia compared to countries like Iran and China, this study sheds light on the complementation of herbs with antihypertensive drugs on anthropometric measurements, blood pressure and biochemistry analysis among hypertensive adults.

Moreover, it was discovered that characteristics such as being aged between 61-70, female Chinese with incomes of less than RM3000 and attaining

Table VI : Association analysis of socio-demographic characteristics with anthropometry, physiological and measured biochemical outcome data

| Variables         |              |   |                           |              |              | Anthropometry and Physiological Parameters Outcome |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
|-------------------|--------------|---|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
|                   |              | BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) Waist/Hip Systolic (mm/Hg) |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               | stolic (mm            |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
|                   | <24.9        | >2  | 24.9                      | (χ²); p      | -value       | Low/<br>Mod  | High                   | (χ <sup>2</sup> ); | p-value                   | <140         |                  | >140             | (χ²); p-value | <90                   | >                              | .90          | (χ <sup>2</sup> ); | p-value          |  |
|                   | n (%)        | n   | (%)                       |              |              | n (%)  | n (%)                  |                    |                           | n (%)        | r                | n (%)            |               | n (%)                 | n                              | (%)          |                    |                  |  |
| <b>Age</b> <60    | 7 (28.0)     | 18 (  | 72.0)                     | 4.546        | ; 0.103      | 13<br>(52.0)                                       | 12<br>(48.0)           | 0.009              | ; 0.996                   | 16 (64.0     | ) 9              | (36.0)           | 8.676; 0.013* | 22<br>(88.0)          | 3 (1                           | 12.0)        | 4.162;             | ; 0.125ª         |  |
| 61-70             | 12<br>(35.3) | 22 (  | (64.7)                    |              |              | 18<br>(52.9)                                       | 16<br>(47.1)           |                    |                           | 14 (41.2     | ) 20             | (58.8)           |               | 29<br>(85.3)          | 5 (1                           | 14.7)        |                    |                  |  |
| >70               | 15<br>(55.6) | 12 (  | (44.4)                    |              |              | 14<br>(51.9)                                       | 13<br>(48.1)           |                    |                           | 21 (77.8     | ) 6              | (22.2)           |               | 27<br>(100.0)         | 0 (                            | (0.0)        |                    |                  |  |
| Gender            |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| Male              | 16<br>(47.1) | 18 (  | (52.9)                    | 1.332        | ; 0.249      | 29<br>(85.3)                                       | 5 (14.7)               | 24.500             | ; <b>0.001</b> *a         | 18 (52.9     | ) 16             | (47.1)           | 0.943; 0.332  | 28<br>(82.4)          | 6 (                            | 17.6)        | 4.641;             | ; 0.053ª         |  |
| Female            | 18<br>(34.6) | 34 (  | (65.4)                    |              |              | 16<br>(30.8)                                       | 36<br>(69.2)           |                    |                           | 33 (63.5     | ) 19             | (36.5)           |               | 50<br>(96.2)          | 2 (                            | (3.8)        |                    |                  |  |
| Ethnicity         |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| Malay             | 5 (25.0)     |   | (75.0)                    | 2.318        | ; 0.314      | 7 (35.0)   | 13<br>(65.0)           | 7.821              | ; 0.882                   | 10 (50.0     |                  | (50.0)           | 1.251; 0.535  | 16<br>(80.0)          |                                | 20.0)        | 3.596              | ; 0.166          |  |
| Chinese           | 20<br>(44.4) | 25 (  | (55.6)                    |              |              | 30<br>(66.7)                                       | 15<br>(33.3)           |                    |                           | 29 (64.4     | ) 16             | (35.6)           |               | 42<br>(93.3)          | 3 (                            | 6.7)         |                    |                  |  |
| Indian/<br>Others | 9 (42.9)     | 12 (  | (57.1)                    |              |              | 8 (38.1)   | 13<br>(61.9)           |                    |                           | 12 (57.1     | ) 9              | (42.9)           |               | 20<br>(95.2)          | 1 (                            | 4.8)         |                    |                  |  |
| Income levels     |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| No income         | 8 (38.1)     |   | (61.9)                    | 1.233        | ; 0.540      | 8 (38.1)   | 13<br>(61.9)           | 4.236; 0.120       |                           | 14 (66.7     |                  | (33.3)           | 0.669; 0.716  | 21 0 (0.0)<br>(100.0) |                                |              | 3.098; 0.212       |                  |  |
| Less than 3k      | 16<br>(35.6) | 29 (  | (64.4)                    |              |              | 23<br>(51.1)                                       | 22<br>(48.9)           |                    |                           | 26 (57.8     | ) 19             | (42.2)           |               | 40<br>(88.9)          | 5 (*                           | 11.1)        |                    |                  |  |
| More than<br>3k   | 10<br>(50.0) | 10 (  | (50.0)                    |              |              | 14<br>(70.0)                                       | 6 (30.0)               |                    |                           | 11 (55.0     | ) 9              | (45.0)           |               | 17<br>(85.0)          | 3 (                            | 15.0)        |                    |                  |  |
| Education         |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| NA/ Primary       | 11<br>(57.9) | 8 (4  | 42.1)                     | 5.257        | ; 0.072      | 10<br>(52.6)                                       | 9 (47.4)               | 1.031              | ; 0.597                   | 10 (52.6     | 2.6) 9 (47.4) 0. |                  | 0.520; 0.771  | 18<br>(94.7)          | 1 (5.3)                        |              | 0.701; 0.704ª      |                  |  |
| Secondary         | 16<br>(30.2) | 37 (  | (69.8)                    |              |              | 26<br>(49.1)                                       | 27<br>(50.9)           |                    |                           | 32 (60.4     | ) 21             | (39.6)           |               | 47<br>(88.7)          | 6 (                            | 11.3)        |                    |                  |  |
| Tertiary          | 7 (50.0)     | 7 (5  | 50.0)                     |              |              | 9 (64.3)   | 5 (35.7)               |                    |                           | 9 (64.3)     | 5                | (35.7)           |               | 13<br>(92.9)          | 1 (                            | 7.1)         |                    |                  |  |
| Variables         |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    | Biochemic                 | al Paramete  | rs Outcom        | e                |               |                       |                                | -            |                    |                  |  |
|                   | Her          | noglobin (  | g/dl)                     |              | TC (mg/dl    | )  |                        | TG (mg/dl          | )                         |              | HDL              | mg/dl)           |               | LDL (mg/dl)           |                                | Ch           | ol/HDL (mş         | g/dl)            |  |
|                   | Low          | Normal  | ( <i>χ</i> ²);<br>p-value | Normal       | High         | ( <i>χ</i> ²);<br>p-value                          | Nor-<br>mal/<br>Border | High               | ( <i>χ</i> ²);<br>p-value | Low          | Normal           | (χ²);<br>p-value | Norma         | ll High               | (χ²);<br>p-val-<br>ue          | Normal       | High               | (χ²);<br>p-value |  |
|                   | n (%)        | n (%)   |                           | n (%)        | n (%)        |  | n (%)                  | n (%)              |                           | n (%)        | n (%)            |                  | n (%)         | n (%)                 |                                | n (%)        | n (%)              |                  |  |
| Age               |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| <60               | 4 (16.0)     | 21<br>(84.0)  | 0.285;<br>0.867           | 19<br>(76.0) | 6 (24.0)     | 0.934;<br>0.627                                    | 14<br>(56.0)           | 11<br>(44.0)       | 1.231;<br>0.540           | 12<br>(48.0) | 13<br>(52.0)     | 0.821;           | (68.0)        |                       | 2.742;<br>0.254                | 15<br>(60.0) | 10<br>(40.0)       | 1.182;<br>0.554  |  |
| 61-70             | 5 (14.7)     | 29<br>(85.3)  |                           | 29<br>(85.3) | 5 (14.7)     |  | 18<br>(52.9)           | 16<br>(47.1)       |                           | 16<br>(47.1) | 18<br>(52.9)     |                  | 29<br>(85.3)  | 5 (14.7)              |                                | 18<br>(52.9) | 16<br>(47.1)       | 0.554            |  |
| >70               | 3 (11.1)     | 24<br>(88.9)  |                           | 21<br>(77.8) | 6 (22.2)     |  | 18<br>(66.7)           | 9 (33.3)           |                           | 10<br>(37.0) | 17<br>(63.0)     |                  | 22<br>(81.5)  | 5 (18.5)              |                                | 18<br>(66.7) | 9 (33.3)           |                  |  |
| Gender            |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| Male              | 5 (14.7)     | 29<br>(85.3)  | 0.027;<br>1.000ª          | 28<br>(82.4) | 6 (17.6)     | 0.159;<br>0.690                                    | 22<br>(64.7)           | 12<br>(35.3)       | 0.996;<br>0.318           | 15<br>(44.1) | 19<br>(55.9)     | 0.000;           | (82.4)        |                       | 0.366;<br>0.545                | 19<br>(55.9) | 14<br>(44.1)       | 0.273;<br>0.602  |  |
| Female            | 7 (13.5)     | 45<br>(86.5)  |                           | 41<br>(78.8) | 11<br>(21.2) |  | 28<br>(53.8)           | 24<br>(46.2)       |                           | 23<br>(44.2) | 29<br>(55.8)     |                  | 40<br>(76.9)  | 12<br>(23.1)          |                                | 31<br>(61.5) | 20<br>(38.5)       |                  |  |
| Ethnicity         |              |   |                           |              |              |  |                        |                    |                           |              |                  |                  |               |                       |                                |              |                    |                  |  |
| Malay             | 2 (10.0)     | 18<br>(90.0)  | 0.358;<br>0.836ª          | 11<br>(55.0) |              | 11.393;<br>0.003*a                                 | 11<br>(55.0)           | 9 (45.0)           | 0.154;<br>0.926           | 14<br>(70.0) | 6 (30.0)         | 10.246; 0.       | (50.0)        | 10<br>(50.0)          | 13.394;<br>0.001* <sup>a</sup> | 7 (35.0)     | 13<br>(65.0)       | 6.667;<br>0.036* |  |
| Chinese           | 7 (15.6)     | 38<br>(84.4)  |                           | 41<br>(91.1) | 4 (8.9)      |  | 27<br>(60.0)           | 18<br>(40.0)       |                           | 13<br>(28.9) | 32<br>(71.1)     |                  | 40<br>(88.9)  | 5 (11.1)              |                                | 31<br>(68.9) | 14<br>(31.1)       |                  |  |
| Indian/           | 3 (14.3)     | 18  |                           | 17           | 4 (19.0)     |  | 12                     | 9 (42.9)           |                           | 11           | 10               |                  | 18            | 3 (14.3)              |                                | 13           | 8 (38.1)           |                  |  |

| Income levels   | 6        |              |                  |              |              |                  |              |              |                 |              |              |              |              |              |                  |              |              |                 |
|-----------------|----------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|
| No income       | 3 (14.3) | 18<br>(85.7) | 0.035;<br>0.983ª | 16<br>(76.2) | 5 (23.8)     | 0.504;<br>0.777ª | 15<br>(71.4) | 6 (28.6)     | 3.493;<br>0.174 | 11<br>(52.4) | 10<br>(47.6) | 0.897; 0.639 | 15<br>(71.4) | 6 (28.6)     | 1.189;<br>0.552ª | 10<br>(47.6) | 11<br>(52.4) | 1.685;          |
| Less than 3k    | 6 (13.3) | 39<br>(86.7) |                  | 36<br>(80.0) | 9 (20.0)     |                  | 22<br>(48.9) | 23<br>(51.1) |                 | 18<br>(40.0) | 27<br>(60.0) |              | 36<br>(80.0) | 9 (20.0)     |                  | 29<br>(64.4) | 16<br>(35.6) | 0.431           |
| More than<br>3k | 3 (15.0) | 17<br>(85.0) |                  | 17<br>(85.0) | 3 (15.0)     |                  | 13<br>(65.0) | 7 (35.0)     |                 | 9 (45.0)     | 11<br>(55.0) |              | 17<br>(85.0) | 3 (15.0)     |                  | 12<br>(60.0) | 8 (40.0)     |                 |
| Education       |          |              |                  |              |              |                  |              |              |                 |              |              |              |              |              |                  |              |              |                 |
| NA/ Primary     | 1 (5.3)  | 18<br>(94.7) | 1.904;<br>0.386ª | 16<br>(84.2) | 3 (15.8)     | 0.731;<br>0.694ª | 13<br>(68.4) | 6 (31.6)     | 1.656;<br>0.437 | 7 (36.8)     | 12<br>(63.2) | 0.617; 0.735 | 15<br>(78.9) | 4 (21.1)     | 0.467;<br>0.792ª | 13<br>(68.4) | 6 (31.6)     | 1.171;<br>0.557 |
| Secondary       | 8 (15.1) | 45<br>(84.9) |                  | 41<br>(77.4) | 12<br>(22.6) |                  | 28<br>(52.8) | 25<br>(47.2) |                 | 25<br>(47.2) | 28<br>(52.8) |              | 41<br>(77.4) | 12<br>(22.6) |                  | 31<br>(58.5) | 22<br>(41.5) |                 |
| Tertiary        | 3 (21.4) | 11<br>(78.6) |                  | 12<br>(85.7) | 2 (14.3)     |                  | 9 (64.3)     | 5 (35.7)     |                 | 6 (42.9)     | 8 (57.1)     |              | 12<br>(85.7) | 2 (14.3)     |                  | 7 (50.0)     | 7 (50.0)     |                 |

\*indicates significant association; a-Fishers exact test was used.

secondary education levels were indicative of likely being herb users as these were common traits among the patients. Similar characteristics were reported in studies conducted in Indonesia and Iran for age and gender (25,26). In studies conducted in Malaysia however, it was reported that Malays and Chinese were the ones that usually complement herbs (4, 27). Furthermore, income levels among these hypertensive herb users varied between no income at all and low income in countries like Malaysia and the Philippines while attaining secondary level of education was 54.5% in Turkey and 51.4% in Malaysia which aligns with this study participants education (28,29).

This present study also found that mainly using self-prescribed herbs for more than one year and suffering from co-morbidities like high cholesterol levels were common among the participants. However, through this cross-sectional study, it was found that there was a negative correlation between the usage of herbs and having good cholesterol outcomes. A study in Thailand elucidated that frequent intake of herbs across a longer period of time was more likely to bring about either positive or negative outcomes on blood pressure (30). Moreover, a study carried out in Korea supported the findings in this study whereby dyslipidemia and having less than three co-morbidities were common among hypertensive participants (31). On the contrary, a Malaysian study discovered that diabetes was the co-morbid most observed among hypertensive participants that complement herbs followed by dyslipidemia and stroke (28). Despite the variation of ailments in different study settings, it can be inferred that participants tend to complement herbs in order to cope with managing more than one co-morbidity in addition to their hypertensive condition (32).

Among the hypertensive patients in this study, consumption of amlodipine was prevalent. Reportedly, a study carried out in Selangor, Malaysia by Ng et al. (2020), found that calcium channel blockers were the drugs most commonly prescribed in

Malaysia for hypertension which also includes amlodipine (33). On the contrary, randomized clinical trials done in China, Iran and neighbouring countries like Indonesia, revealed that captopril was the most commonly taken drug among the hypertensive participants that complemented herbs (8,11,26). However, it is vital that factors such as age, ethnicity, previous history with anti-hypertensive drugs, medical conditions as well affordability and the possibility of drug interactions with complemented herbs be taken into account prior to administering any drugs to patients for their hypertensive treatment (34).

In previous cohort studies carried out in the US, Thailand and Palestine, 4-12 types of herb combinations were found to be complemented among the hypertensive participants (30,35). However, in this study as opposed to the others, 55 different combinations were identified which could possibly improve the measured parameters. Some of the most common herbs complemented with drugs across other studies include garlic, ginseng, whortleberries and Traditional Chinese medicine which was the only type of herb similar to this study. It was reported that these combinations of herbs when complemented with anti-hypertensive drugs were able to bring about positive changes on blood pressure and also lipid profile outcomes (26,36,37).

Several clinical trials done in Iran and Canada reported that among individuals that practiced complementation, the BMI of participants were 28.50 kg/m2 and 28.62 kg/m2 respectively thus categorized in the overweight group in both studies (11, 36). On the other hand, a study conducted in Malaysia, found that people with hypertension usually had BMI and waist/hip ratios that fell in the overweight and high risk for cardiovascular disease categories respectively which leads to higher chances of suffering from cardiovascular diseases (3). Hence, data from previous studies show that most participants had BMI levels that fall in the overweight group which was not in accordance with the findings from this study.

In the instance of blood pressure measurements using ABPM in Western countries, it was observed that the readings were less than 140/90 mm/Hg which was similar to this study as it was measured repeatedly for more than 30 minutes (12,38). Repeated measurements provide greater reproducibility of an average blood pressure taken from multiple readings hence resulting in increased precision (39). In contrast, hypertensive participants complementing herbs from Thailand had readings above 140/90 mm/ Hg when an office blood pressure machine was used as the measuring tool (30). Thus, the results obtained differ from previous study results in neighbouring countries and are more similar to those done in the West. Lower blood pressure readings as observed in the West and this current study could be attributed to the fact that participants were more relaxed when using ABPM as compared to office blood pressure machines and white-coat hypertension was avoided during the measurements (40).

Moving on to biochemical parameters, studies done on the complementation of herbs and anti-hypertensive drugs in relation to hemoglobin measurements are scarce worldwide. However, a study by Ghosh (2021) in India reported that individuals with hypertension that complement herbs usually have Hb readings of less than 11.5 g/dL which would fall in the lowlevel group (41). Thus, this current study sheds light on measurements of hemoglobin among participants that are actively complementing herbs with drugs which shows mostly normal levels of hemoglobin.

Based on a randomized control trial done in Japan among hypertensive adults complementing herbs, it was found that only total cholesterol and triglyceride categories differed from the hypertensive participants in this present study (42). Similarly, a trial done in India with consumption of gooseberries among hypertensive adults differed in HDL and triglyceride aspects (43). Thus, it can be said that the lipid parameters tend to vary among participants across studies carried out in different countries, but mostly had borderline high triglyceride levels which was the same as the overall mean for this present study. This could be due to the synergistic effect of the herbs which exert anti-hypertensive potential and anti-hypertensive drugs when used concurrently (24, 25).

Furthermore, through this study's analysis, it was observed that only sociodemographic characteristics such as age, gender and ethnicity had positive correlations with systolic blood pressure, waist/hip ratio and high cholesterol outcomes respectively while herbs did not show any positive correlations with the measured parameters. It cannot be denied that age is indeed a contributor that affects systolic readings. This aligns with another study conducted in Malaysia which reported that those with advanced ages were more likely to have higher systolic readings (44). In a different study done in Poland that focused on the complementation of bermagot, improvements in systolic readings were mainly observed among individuals below the age of 65 years old due to the higher dosage of herbs that could be consumed by these individuals (45). Hence, it can be said that among older adults that complement herbs, controlling blood pressure readings could be a challenge due to the body's ability to recuperate (30).

Subsequently, as opposed to the current study which reported high waist/hip ratio which in turn leads to increased risk for cardiovascular disease (CVDs) among hypertensive women complementing herbs, a study in Taiwan elucidated that hypertensive males were prone to having high risk for CVDs. The reasons as to why males were more prone to CVDS were mainly due to obesity, smoking and physical inactivity (46). Since effects of complementation on waist/hip ratio is scarce in Malaysia, this study provides information on the associated risk of high/waist ratio and CVDs among hypertensive females complementing herbs.

Moreover, in Malaysia, the ethnic group that commonly suffers from elevated cholesterol levels is the Malay population (47). Subsequently in another study conducted in Selangor among hypertensive adults, it was reported that there were no associations with elevated lipid parameters among the Chinese and Indians due to better dietary intake and physical activity levels among these ethnicities as compared to the Malay population (47, 48). Thus, the findings from previous studies further supports the data from this current study as all cholesterol parameters except for triglycerides were found to be elevated and significantly associated with the Malay population that complemented herbs. However, it is vital to remember that lifestyle habits, enhanced stress levels, diets or even patient behaviour plays a part in the outcome of elevated blood pressure, anthropometry and lipid profile outcomes as well as the reason that herbs complementation did not show significant correlations with these measured parameters (49).

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study was able to shed light on the sociodemographic group of participants who commonly complement herbs with drugs which may give healthcare providers an idea of the group of people that tend to seek alternative therapies for their health-related issues. This includes older Chinese females that attained secondary education and incomes of less than three thousand ringgit. Hence, advice could be provided by doctors in future to ensure safe consumption is done by patients and that they are aware of possible adverse effects of complementation. The usage of herbs did not bring about positive correlations with the measured parameters but the significant association of these parameters with sociodemographic characteristics were discovered such as age (61-70), gender (female) and ethnicity (Malays) with elevated systolic blood pressure readings, high risk for cardiovascular diseases and high cholesterol levels respectively. This information will enable medical personnel and doctors in future to assess and gain knowledge on the types of herbs consumed among these group of people as well as to provide the patients with knowledge on the outcomes of complementing herbs. Since various herbs were complemented in this study, conclusions cannot be drawn on which herb and drugs complemented could bring about changes on anthropometry, blood pressure and other biochemical parameters. Thus, a clinical trial should be done for a longer duration to identify the types and frequency of herbs complemented with drugs that are effective in improving blood anthropometry pressure, outcomes and other biochemical parameters in Malaysia. However, a fixed regime should be put in place to ensure proper patient compliance and an unbiased investigation.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was supported by National Medical Research Register; NMRR ID:22-00365-3NJ (IIR). We would like to acknowledge the staff from Klinik Kesihatan Kampar and Tabib Cina Teh Hun Phing for their assistance during data collection.

### REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization, 2021. Hypertension [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.who.int/health-topics/hypertension/">https://www.who.int/health-topics/hypertension/</a>> [Accessed 15 December 2021].
- 2. Majid NL, Omar MA, Khoo YY, Mahadir NB, Ling MY, Rodzlan HWS, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in the Malaysian population: findings from the National Health and Morbidity Survey 2006-2015. J Hum Hypertens. 2018; 32(8-9): 617-624. doi:10.1038/s41371-018-0082-x.
- 3. Zaki NAM, Ambak R, Othman F, Wong NI, Man CS, Morad MFA, et al. The prevalence of hypertension among Malaysian adults and its associated risk factors: data from Malaysian Community Salt Study (MyCoSS). J Health Popul Nutr. 2021; 40 (1-8).

doi:10.1186/s41043-021-00237-y.

- 4. Ng CW, Shahari MR, Mariapun J, Hairi NM, Rampal S, Mahal A. Universal coverage of hypertension treatment services in Malaysia is still an elusive goal. Health Systems & Reforms. 2017;159-170. do i:10.1080/23288604.2017.1342746.
- 5. Mackenzie IS, MacDonald TM. Identifying poor adherence to antihypertensive medications in patients with resistant hypertension. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2019;85(1):5-7. doi: 10.1111/ bcp.13806.
- 6. Lyons, 2020. The benefits of herbal self-care products and how to make them at home [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.endeavour.edu.au/about-us/blog/benefits-herbal-self-care-products-and-how-make-them-home/">https://www.endeavour.edu.au/about-us/blog/benefits-herbal-self-care-products-and-how-make-them-home/</a> [Accessed 9 January 2023].
- 7. National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health, 2019. Traditional Chinese medicine: What you need to know. [online] Available at: <a href="https://www.nccih.nih.gov/health/traditional-chinese-medicine-what-you-need-to-know#">https://www.nccih.nih.gov/health/traditional-chinesemedicine-what-you-need-to-know#</a> [Accessed 28 January 2023].
- 8. Xu Y, Yan H, Yao MJ, Ma J, Jia JM, Ruan FX, et al. Cardioankle vascular index evaluations revealed that cotreatment of ARB Antihypertension medication with traditional Chinese medicine improved arterial functionality. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol. 2013;61(5):355-60. doi: 10.1097/ FJC.0b013e31827afddf.
- 9. Saeed S, Islahudin F, Makmor-Bakry M, Redzuan MD. The practice of complementary and alternative medication among chronic kidney disease patients. Journal of Advanced Pharmacy Education & Research. 2018; 8(3):30-36.
- 10. Asgary S, Kopaei MR, Sahebkar A, Shamsi F, Goli-malekabadi N. Anti-hyperglycemic and antihyperlipidemic effects of Vaccinium myrtillus fruit in experimentally induced diabetes (antidiabetic effect of Vaccinium myrtillus fruit). Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2016; 96(3):764-768. doi:10.1002/jsfa.7144.
- 11. Ardalani H, Moghadam M, Rahimi R, Soltani J, Mozayanimonfared A, Moradi M, et al. Sumac as a novel adjunctive treatment in hypertension: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. RSC Advances. 2016; 6(14):11507-11512.
- 12. Poppel PCM, Breedveld P, Abbink EJ, Roelofs H, Van-Heerde W, Smits P, et al. Salvia Miltiorrhiza root water-extract (danshen) has no beneficial effect on cardiovascular risk factors. a randomized double-blind cross-over trial. PLoS ONE. 2015; 10(7). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128695.
- 13. Ardalani H, Jandaghi P, Meraji A, Hassanpour Moghadam M. The Effect of Cynara scolymus on blood pressure and bmi in hypertensive patients: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, clinical trial. Complement Med Res. 2019;27(1):40-

46. doi: 10.1159/000502280.

- 14. Peixoto AJ. Practical aspects of home and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. Cardiovasc J. 2015;11(4):214-8. doi: 10.14797/mdcj-11-4-214.
- 15. Plbddemann A, Thompson M, Price CP, Wolstenholme J, Heneghan C. Point-of-care testing for the analysis of lipid panels: primary care diagnostic technology update. Br J Gen Pract. 2012 Mar;62(596):e224-6. doi: 10.3399/ bjgp12X630241. PMID: 22429442; PMCID: PMC3289831.
- 16. Shin J, Kario K, Chia YC, Turana Y, Chen CH, Buranakitjaroen P, et al. Current status of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in Asian countries: A report from the HOPE Asia Network. J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich). 2020; 22(3):384-390. doi: 10.1111/jch.13724.
- 17. Pearson H, Fleming T, Chhoun P, Tuot S, Brody C, Yi S. Prevalence of and factors associated with utilization of herbal medicines among outpatients in primary health centers in Cambodia. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2018;18(1):114. doi: 10.1186/s12906-018-2181-1.
- 18. Quandt SA, Verhoef MJ, Arcury TA, Lewith GT, Steinsbekk A, Kristoffersen AE, et al. Development of an international questionnaire to measure use of complementary and alternative medicine (I-CAM-Q). J Altern Complement Med. 2009;15(4):331-9. doi: 10.1089/acm.2008.0521.
- 19. Eezee, 2023. GMM-H01 body bmi health scale ultrasonic height, weight & fat analyzer [online] Available at: < https://eezee.sg/product/gmm-h01body-bmi-health-scale-ultrasonic-height-weightfat-analyzer-656b9e1f79> [Accessed 28 January 2023].
- 20. Sachdev P, 2021. What is waist to hip ratio [online] Available at: < https://www.webmd.com/fitnessexercise/what-is-waist-to-hip-ratio [Accessed 28 January 2023].
- 21. Greenland P. Effective use of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. JAMA. 2019;322(5):420–421. doi:10.1001/jama.2019.10123.
- 22. Gomar FS, Ballester JC, Galeano HP, Banfi G, Lippi G. Hemoglobin Point-of-Care Testing: The HemoCue System. SLAS Technology. 2013; 18 (3): 198-205. doi: 10.1177/2211068212457560
- 23. Siti ZM, Tahir A, Farah AI, Fazlin SM, Sondi S, Azman AH, et al. Use of traditional and complementary medicine in Malaysia: a baseline study. Complement Ther Med. 2009; 17(5-6): 292-299. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2009.04.002.
- 24. Ullah A, Munir S, Badshah SL, Khan N, Ghani L, Poulson BG, et al. Important flavonoids and their role as a therapeutic agent. Molecules. 2020;25(22):5243. doi: 10.3390/ molecules25225243.
- 25. Mohtashami R, Fallah Huseini H, Nabati F, Hajiaghaee R, Kianbakht S. Effects of standardized

hydro-alcoholic extract of Vaccinium arctostaphylos leaf on hypertension and biochemical parameters in hypertensive hyperlipidemic type 2 diabetic patients: a randomized, double-blind and placebocontrolled clinical trial. Avicenna J Phytomed. 2019;9(1):44-53.

- 26. Rizka A, Setiati S, Lydia A, Dewiasty E. Effect of nigella sativa seed extract for hypertension in elderly: a double-blind, randomized controlled trial. Acta Med Indones. 2017; 49(4):307-313.
- 27. Ching SM, Zakaria ZA, Paimin F, Jalalian M. Complementary alternative medicine use among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in the primary care setting: a cross-sectional study in Malaysia. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2013; 13(148). doi:10.1186/1472-6882-13-148.
- 28. Palafox B, Palileo-Villanueva LM, Amit AML, Pepito VCF, Majid FA, Ariffin F, et al. Prevalence, determinants and outcomes of traditional, complementary and alternative medicine use for hypertension among low-income households in Malaysia and the Philippines. BMC Complement Med Ther. 2022; (22): 252. doi:10.1186/s12906-022-03730-x.
- 29. Joachimdass RJ, Subramaniam K, Sit NW, Lim YM, Teo CH, Ng CJ, et al. Self-management using crude herbs and the health-related quality of life among adult patients with hypertension living in a suburban setting of Malaysia. PLoS One. 2021; 16(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0257336.
- 30. Thangsuk P, Pinyopornpanish K, Jiraporncharoen W, Buawangpong N, Angkurawaranon C. Is the association between herbal use and blood-pressure control mediated by medication adherence? A cross-sectional study in primary care. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(24):12916. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182412916.
- 31. Noh J, Kim HC, Shin A, Yeom H, Jang SY, Lee JH, et al. Prevalence of comorbidity among people with hypertension: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2007-2013. Korean Circ J. 2016; 46(5):672-680. doi: 10.4070/kcj.2016.46.5.672.
- 32. Liwa A, Roediger R, Jaka H, Bougaila A, Smart L, Langwick S, et al. Peck Herbal and alternative medicine use in Tanzanian adults admitted with hypertension-related diseases: A mixed-methods study. Int J Hypertens. 2017; 2017: 5692572. doi: 10.1155/2017/5692572.
- 33. Ng JM, Kua KP, Lee, SWH. Prescribing patterns of antihypertensive medications and blood pressure con-trol among hypertensive patients in a primary care setting in Malaysia. Progress in Drug Discovery & Biomedical Science. 2020, 3(1). doi: 10.36877/ pddbs.a0000055.
- 34. MIMS Cardiology, 2023. Hypertension [online] Available at: <a href="https://specialty.mims.com/">https://specialty.mims.com/</a> hypertension/treatment> [Accessed 9 January 2023].

- 35. Ali-Shtayeh, M., Jamous, R., Jamous, R. and Salameh, N., 2013. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) use among hypertensive patients in Palestine. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice, 19(4), pp.256-263.
- 36. Jovanovski E, Lea-Duvnjak-Smircic, Komishon A, Au-Yeung F, Zurbau A, Jenkins AL, et al. Vascular effects of combined enriched Korean Red ginseng (Panax Ginseng) and American ginseng (Panax Quinquefolius) administration in individuals with hypertension and type 2 diabetes: A randomized controlled trial. Complement Ther Med. 2020; 49: 102338. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2020.102338.
- 37. Ried K, Travica N, Sali A. The effect of kyolic aged garlic extract on gut microbiota, inflammation, and cardiovascular markers in hypertensives: The GarGIC Trial. Front Nutr. 2018; 5: 122. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2018.00122.
- 38. Osińska AN, Begier-Krasińska B, Rzymski P, Krasińska A, Tykarski A, Krasiński Z. The influence of adding tomato extract and acetylsalicylic acid to hypotensive therapy on the daily blood pressure profiles of patients with arterial hypertension and high cardiovascular risk. Kardiochir Torakochirurgia Pol. 2017;14(4):245-252. doi: 10.5114/kitp.2017.72229.
- 39. Gorostidi M, Banegas JR, De-La Sierra A, Vinyoles E, Segura J, Ruilope LM. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring in daily clinical practice the Spanish ABPM Registry experience. Eur J Clin Invest. 2016;46(1):92-98. doi: 10.1111/eci.12565.
- 40. Reynolds K, Bowling CB, Sim JJ, Sridharan L, Harrison TN, Shimbo D. The utility of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring for diagnosing white coat hypertension in older adults. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2015;17(11):86. doi: 10.1007/s11906-015-0599-0.
- 41. Ghosh T, Rehman T, Ahamed F. Relationship between hemoglobin and blood pressure levels in the context of chronic morbidity among older adults residing in a developing country: a communitylevel comparative cross-sectional study. Cureus. 2021;13(11) doi: 10.7759/cureus.19540.
- 42. Azushima K, Tamura K, Haku S, Wakui H, Kanaoka T, Ohsawa M, et al. Effects of the

oriental herbal medicine Bofu-tsusho-san in obesity hypertension: a multicenter, randomized, parallel-group controlled trial (ATH-D-14-01021. R2). Atherosclerosis. 2014;240(1):297-304. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2015.01.025.

- 43. Shanmugarajan D, Girish C, Harivenkatesh N, Chanaveerappa B, Prasanna Lakshmi NC. Antihypertensive and pleiotropic effects of Phyllanthus emblica extract as an add-on therapy in patients with essential hypertension-A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Phytother Res. 2021; 35(6):3275-3285. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7043.
- 44. Naidu MB, Mohd Yusoff MF, Abdullah S, Musa KI, Yaacob NM, Mohamad MS, Sahril N, Aris T. Factors associated with the severity of hypertension among Malaysian adults. PLoS One. 2019 Jan 3;14(1). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207472.
- 45. Lipert A, Szadkowska I, Matusiak-Wieczorek E, Kochan E. The effect of herbal supplements on blood pressure: systematic review and meta-analysis. Antioxidants. 2022; 11(8):1419. doi:10.3390/antiox11081419.
- 46. Liu CT, Hung IL, Hsu CY, Hu KC, Chen YH, Tsai MY. Front. Cardiovasc. Med., 11 July 2022. Chinese herbal medicine reduces the risk of heart failure in hypertensive patients: A nationwide, retrospective, cohort study. Sec. Hypertension. 2022;9. doi:10.3389/fcvm.2022.922728.
- 47. Yassin MS, Baharudin N, Daher AM, Bakar NA, Ramli AS, Razak SA, et al. High prevalence of dyslipidaemia subtypes and their associated personal and clinical attributes in Malaysian adults: the REDISCOVER study. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2021; 21 (149) doi:10.1186/s12872-021-01956-0.
- 48. Lee HS, Aman A, Kamaruddin KS, Ali Am Yusof HM. Ethnic differences on cardiovascular disease risk and quality of life in Selangor. Food Research. 2020;4(4): 1272 1286.
- 49. Naing C, Yeoh PN, Wai VN, Win NN, Kuan LP, Aung K. Hypertension in Malaysia: An analysis of trends from the national surveys 1996 to 2011. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(2). doi: 10.1097/ MD.000000000002417.